# Part III Attachment III-C Appendix III-C.3

#### FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS

Pescadito Environmental Resource Center MSW No. 2374 Webb County, Texas




Initial Submittal March 2015 Supplement April 2015

Prepared for: Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLC 1116 Calle del Norte Laredo, TX 78041

> Prepared by: CB&I Environmental and Infrastructure, Inc.



12005 Ford Rd, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75234




This document is released for the purpose of permitting only under the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650

#### **Table of Contents**

- III-C.3-1. Rainfall Totals and Distributions
- III-C.3-2. Stormwater Management Features Delineation
- III-C.3-3. Runoff Curve Number Determination
- III-C.3-4. Subcatchment Lag Time
- III-C.3-5. Subcatchment Area Discharge Rates
- III-C.3-6. Terrace Bench Sizing
- III-C.3-7. Downchute Sizing
- III-C.3-8. Perimeter Channel Sizing
- III-C.3-9. Culvert Sizing
- III-C.3-10. South Detention Basin Sizing and Discharge Rates

#### Attachments

III-C.3-A Facility Stormwater Feature Delineation Figure




ATTACHMENT III-C

# **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

#### 1. RAINFALL TOTALS AND DISTRUBUTIONS (III-C.3-1)



purpose of permitting only unde the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650.



		Technically	Complete, March 11, 2016	
E P			Page: 1 of 1	
	Client:	Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLC		
	Project:	Pescadito Environmental Resource Center		
	Project #:	148866		
	Calculated By:	MTE	Date: 4/13/15	
	Checked By:	RDS	Date: 4/14/15	
TITLE: RAINFALL TOTA	ALS AND DISTRIBUTIO	DNS		

#### **Problem Statement**

Determine the rainfall volumes and distributions for the 24-hour storm events for the 25-year and 100year frequencies. The rainfall totals and distributions are used in the HydroCAD computer model to determine rainfall runoff quantities. It is noted that the 100-year 24-hour storm is modeled to demonstrate that the proposed landfill design model results are consistent with the 100-year 24-hour storm events described within the Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) that has been approved for the area surrounding and including this facility.

#### Given

All runoff calculations have been calculated based on Technical Paper No. 40, "Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States" (TP-40) and the SCS Type III storm (cumulative rainfall versus time) for the 24-hour, 25-year and 100-year storm events. Title 30 TAC §330.303(a) requires that the facility be "constructed, maintained, and operated to manage run-on and runoff during the peak discharge of a 25-year rainfall event". The stormwater management system for the facility has been designed to manage flows from the 100-year, 24-hour storm event.

#### Results

Pages 54 and 56 of TP-40 show the rainfall distribution figures for the 25-year, 24-hour and the 100year, 24-hour storm events, respectively. The figures specify the maximum rain depth that is anticipated to fall during a given rain event. Rainfall depths for the 25-year and 100-year storm event were estimated based on these figures.

The 25-year, 24-hour rainfall total is **7.6 inches**. The 100-year, 24-hour rainfall total is 9.8 inches. However, it is noted that a correction factor of 97% was applied to this rainfall total as part of the CLOMR Modeling, resulting in a rainfall total of 9.5 inches. Therefore, 100-year modeling for the facility is based on this corrected rainfall total of **9.5 inches** for the purpose of demonstrating equivalency with the CLOMR. The correction factor was not included for the 25-year, 24-hour storm to be consistent with Title 30 TAC §330.303(a).


Please refer to the CLOMR provided in Attachment A of Appendix III-C.1 for additional information.

ATTACHMENT III-C

# **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

#### 2. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FEATURES DELINEATION (III-C.3-2)





Page: 1 of 2



Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate:4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate:4/15/15

#### TITLE: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FEATURES DELINEATION

#### **Problem Statement**

Delineate the stormwater management features for existing, intermediate (post-CLOMR) and post-development conditions for the Pescadito Environmental Resource Center.

#### Given

#### Pre-Development Conditions

The subcatchment areas for the pre-development conditions were delineated in the CLOMR, which is included in Attachment A of Appendix III-C.1. The subcatchment areas are shown on Figure 2 of the CLOMR and recreated on Drawing 1 of Appendix III-C.2.

This model has been re-developed using HydroCAD to demonstrate equivalency with the CLOMR results. The model diagram is included in Appendix III-C.4-1. Additionally, Table C.3-2 provides the acreages associated with each subcatchment.

#### Intermediate-Development Conditions (Post-CLOMR)

The subcatchment areas for the intermediate development (post-CLOMR) conditions were delineated in the CLOMR, which is included in Attachment A of Appendix III-C.1. The subcatchment areas are shown on Figure 7 of the CLOMR and recreated on Drawing 3 of Appendix III-C.2.

This model has been re-developed using HydroCAD to demonstrate equivalency with the CLOMR results. Additionally, this model is utilized to compare the pre-development and post-development conditions, as further described in Appendix III-C.1. The model diagram is included in Appendix III-C.4-2. Additionally, Table C.3-2 provides the acreages associated with each subcatchment.

#### Post-Development Conditions

The post-development conditions have been delineated based on drainage areas and multiple stormwater features. The proposed catchment areas inside the landfill's stormwater management system will drain to the proposed detention basin at the south end of the facility prior to downstream discharge. The final landform has been divided into large catchment areas. Each catchment area is served with a downchute ditch. The catchment areas are described as Catchment A through P. The downchutes follow a similar

Page: 2 of 2Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate:4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate:4/15/15

#### TITLE: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FEATURES DELINEATION

naming convention. Smaller areas (subcatchments) are modeled within each major stormwater catchment area. These subcatchment areas were then modeled for each portion of the catchment that will drain into a terrace berm/check dam; the subcatchment areas were labeled numerically based on the terrace berm level to which they drain (Level 1 is the top terrace berm), and further sub-divided as upper left (UL), lower left (LL), lower right (LR), and upper right (UR) areas (e.g. The top row of subcatchment areas in the Catchment B model is, from left to right, 1ULS, 1LLS, 1LRS, 1URS). The proposed subcatchment areas for Catchments A through P are shown on the Figures within Attachment A of this Appendix (III-C.3-A).

The subcatchment areas for portions of the landfill that do not drain into downchute ditches (i.e. the bottom-most portions of the final landform, the perimeter roads, the perimeter ditches, and the stormwater detention basin) were modeled in the "Pescadito Perimeter" Model, which links these areas with all of the large catchment areas of the final landform described above.

The model layouts are provided with the HydroCAD output files in Appendix III-C.4-3.

#### Results

Delineations of the pre-development, intermediate-development, and post-development stormwater catchment areas for the landfill are provided in Attachment A of this Appendix. The HydroCAD model layout diagrams are included in Appendix III-C.4 to aid in understanding how the modeling is completed. Table C.3-2 lists the areas for all of the subcatchments. All runoff from the proposed landfill footprint, perimeter roads, and ditches will be directed to the stormwater detention basin located at the south end of the facility.

Areas outside of the perimeter road drain to their respective main drainage areas and are included in the overall acreages associated with each.

	al Resource Center
ubcatchment Name	Total Area
	(acres)
Pre-Development Cond	
DA1	6,951.0
DA2 DA3	772.4
DA4	2,948.1 3,978.6
Intermediate Conditio	
DA1	5,238.9
DA2	1,182.9
DA3	3,526.4
DA4	3,978.6 198.9
DA5 DA6	134.2
DA7	390.2
Proposed Conditions (F	
Watersh	ed A
1015	1.30
1URS	1,28
2ULS	1.63
2115	0.70
2LR5 2UR5	0,68
3ULS	1.60
3013	1.60
3LRS	1.56
3URS	1.76
4ULS	1.79
4ML5	1.98
4LLS	0,69
4LRS	0.68
4MRS	1.92
4URS ASULS	1.76
ASMLS	3.38
ASUS.	2.56
ASLRS	2.56
ASMRS	3,50
ASURS	3.15
Watersh	
1015	0.91
1LLS	3,58
1LRS 1URS	3.80
2015	1.05
2015	1.58
2LRS	1.58
2URS	1.73
3ULS	1.58
3LLS	1.58
3LRS	1.58
3URS	1.58
4ULS	1,58
4LLS 4LRS	1.58
4URS	1.57
SUES	1.58
SLLS	1.59
5LRS	1,57
SURS	1.57
6ULS	1.58
6LLS	1.59
6LRS	1.56
6URS	1.57
87ULS	2.68
B7LLS B7LRS	2.45
071110	2.39

TABLE C	
Subcatchment Are Pescadito Environmenta	
Subcatchment Name	Total Area
	(acres)
Watershe 1ULS	
IURS	1.26
2ULS	1.55
2LLS 2LRS	0,65
2085	1.58
3ULS	1,71
3LLS 3LRS	1.48
BURS	1.74
4ULS	1.71
4MLS 4LLS	1.81
4LLS 4LRS	0.67
4MR5	1.86
4URS CSULS	1.74 3.12
C5MLS	3.25
CSLLS	2.37
CSLRS CSMRS	2.38
CSURS	2.98
Watershe	
1015	0.73 2.76
1185	2.70
1URS	0.72
2015	1,52
ZLRS	1.31
2URS	1.51
3ULS 3LLS	1.31
3185	1.32
BURS	1.32
4ULS	1,31
4LLS 4LRS	1.32
4URS	1.32
SULS	1.31
SLRS	1.32
SURS	1.32
6015	1,32
6LLS 6LRS	1.32
6URS	1.32
D7ULS	2.52
D7LLS D7LRS	2.35
D7UR5	2.47
Watershe	distant and the second s
1ULS 1URS	1.24 1.27
2015	1.53
2115	0.65
2LRS 2URS	0,65
BULS	1.69
3115	1.47
3LRS BURS	1.47
4ULS	1,69
4MLS	1.80
4LLS 4LRS	0.65
40RS	1.80
4URS	1,72
ESULS ESMLS	3.25 3.50
ESULS	2.83
ESLRS	2.83
ESMRS	3.50

Pescadito Enviror	ent Area Summary nmental Resource Center
	Total Area
ubcatchment Name	(acres)
	atershed F
10LS 11LS	1.02
1LRS	3.35
1URS	0,89
2015	1.68
21.1.5	1,45
2LRS	1,45
2UR5	1.63
3ULS 3LLS	1.46
3LRS	1.46
3URS	1.46
4ULS	1.46
4LLS	1.45
4LR5	1.45
4URS	1.45
SULS	1,46
SLRS	1.45
SURS	1.45
GULS	1.46
6LLS	1,45
6LRS	1.45
6URS	1.45
F7ULS	2.82
F7LLS F7LRS	2.57
F7URS	2.57
	itershed G
1ULS	1,26
1URS	1.26
2015	1.53
2LLS	0,63
2LRS	0.63
2UR5	1.53
BULS	1.69
3LLS 3LRS	1.41
BURS	1.42
4ULS	1.69
AMLS	1.72
4115	0.63
4LRS	0.63
4MRS	1.73
4URS	1.68
G5ULS	3.33
GSMLS	3.45
G5LLS G5LRS	2.85
GSMRS	2.85
G5URS	3.44
	tershed H
1ULS	0.52
1115	2.60
ILRS	3.03
1URS	0.85
2015	1.49
2LLS 2LRS	1.33
2UR5	1.57
3015	1.34
3LLS	1.34
3LRS	1,34
3URS	1.34
4ULS	1.33
4LLS	1.34
4LRS	1.33
4URS	1.34
SULS	1.33
5LLS	1.34
SLRS SURS	1.33
6ULS	1,34
6LLS	1.33
GLRS	1.34
6URS	1,34
H7ULS	2,55
H7LLS	2.43
H7LRS	2.43
	2.62

Subcatchment Are	
Pescadito Environmenta	al Resource Center Total Area
Subcatchment Name	(acres)
Watersh	
1015	1.27
IURS	1.25
20LS 2LLS	1.63 0.69
2LRS	0.68
2URS	1.60
3ULS	1,75
3LLS 3LRS	1.54
3URS	1.72
4ULS	1.75
4MLS	1.88
4LLS 4LRS	0.67
4MRS	1,83
AURS	1.72
ISULS	3,19
ISHLS	3,44 2,61
ISLRS	2.60
ISMRS	3.52
ISURS	3.35
1ULS Watersh	ed J
1015	2.86
11.85	2.95
IURS	0,78
2015	1.59
21L5 2LR5	1.36
2URS	1.59
3ULS	1.36
3LLS	1,36
3LRS 3URS	1.36
4015	1.36
4LLS	1.36
4LRS	1.37
4URS SULS	1.39
SLLS	1,36
5LRS	1.36
SURS	1,37
6ULS	1.36
6LRS	1.36
6URS	1.37
17015	2.44
J7LLS J7LRS	2.27
J7URS	2.47
Watershe	
1015	1.31
1URS	1.35
20LS 2LLS	1.60
2LRS	0.67
2URS	1.65
3ULS	1.76
3115 31RS	1.50
3URS	1.53
4ULS	1.76
4MLS	1.84
4LLS	0.66
4LRS 4MRS	0.67
4URS	1.81
KSULS	3.37
KSMLS	3.47
KSLLS	2.52
K5LRS K5MRS	2.55
14011110	3.25

Subcatchm	ABLE C 3-2 Ient Area Summary
Pescadito Enviro	nmental Resource Center
Subcatchment Name	Total Area
10	(acres) atershed L
1015	1.40
1115	4.67
1LRS	4.69
1URS 2ULS	1.45
2115	1.78
2LR5	1,77
2URS 3ULS	1.99
3LLS	1.79
3LRS	1.78
3URS 4ULS	1.78
ALLS	1.78
4LRS	1,78
4URS SULS	1.78
SLLS	1,78
SLRS	1.78
SURS	1.77
6ULS	1,78
6LRS	1,78
6085	1.78
L7ULS	3.56
L7LRS	3.22
L7URS	3.44
1015	atershed M 1.31
1013 1URS	1.31
2ULS	1.61
2LLS	0,66
2LR5 2UR5	0.66
BULS	1,76
3(15	1.49
3LRS 3URS	1,49
4ULS	1.76
4MLS	1,82
4LLS 4LRS	0.66
4MRS	1.82
4URS	1.74
MSULS	3.41 3.54
MSLLS	2.85
MSLRS	3,09
M5MRS M5URS	3.75
	atershed N
1015	0.76
1115	2,79
1LRS 1URS	2.66
2ULS	1,54
2LLS	1.30
2LRS 2URS	1.28
3ULS	1.53
3LLS	1.30
3LRS	1,29
3URS 4ULS	1.29
40LS	1,30
4LRS	1.29
4URS	1.29
SULS	1,30
SLRS	1.29
5URS	1.29
6ULS 6LLS	1.30
6LRS	1,30
бURS	1.29
N7ULS	2,65
N7LLS N7LRS	2.46
TTT Set 14	2,52

Subcatchment Ar	.3-2 ea Summary
Pescadito Environment	
	Total Area
ubcatchment Name	(acres)
Watersh	
1015	1.26
1URS	1.27
2015	1.60
2115	0,69
2LRS	0.69
2URS	1.59
3ULS	1,72
3LLS	1.54
3LRS	1_50
3URS	1.73
AULS	1.72
4ML5	1.88
41.15	0.66
4LRS	0.66
4MR5	1,83
4URS	1.73
OSULS OSMLS	3,54
OSILIS	3.92
OSLRS	3,18
OSMRS	3.97
OSURS	3.56
Watersh	
1015	1.39
1115	4.50
1LRS	4.59
1URS	1.46
2ULS	1,94
2115	1.71
2LRS	1,72
ZURS	1.96
3ULS	1.67
3LLS	1.67
3LRS	1.68
BURS	1.68
4ULS	1.76
4LLS	1.76
4LRS	1,77
4URS	1.76
SULS	1.72
SLLS	1,72
5LRS SLIDE	1.72
SURS 6ULS	1.72
6115	1.72
61.85	1.72
GURS	1.72
P7ULS	3.39
P7ULS	3.20
P7LRS	3.19
PTURS	3,51
Other Subcatch	
WMCS	0.41
WMS	11.50
EMCS	1.15
EMS	19.34
SDBS	51,31
DA1	5238.90
DA2	749.80
DA3	3149.67
DA4	3978.60
DAS	198,90
DA6	134.20
DA7	390.20


.

# ATTACHMENT III-C

# **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

3. CURVE NUMBERS (III-C.3-3)







Page: 1 of 2Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate: 4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate: 4/14/15

#### TITLE: CURVE NUMBERS

#### Problem Statement

Determine the weighted curve number (CN) for the post-development conditions of the Pescadito Environmental Resource Center. The CN is used to determine stormwater runoff for subcatchment areas.

It is noted that the curve numbers for the pre-development and intermediate-development (post-CLOMR) conditions are outlined in the CLOMR application documents included in Attachment A of Appendix III-C.1.

#### Given

- □ Table 2-2d Runoff curve numbers for arid and semiarid rangelands (attached)
- □ HELP Model *Engineering Documentation for Version 3* regression equation for adjustment of curve number for surface slope (attached equation 34)

$$CN_{II} = 100 - (100 - CN_{II_0}) * \left(\frac{L^{*2}}{S^{*2}}\right)^{CN_{II_0} - C}$$

Where,

 $CN_{II} = AMC$  Curve Number (adjusted for slope)  $CN_{II_0} = AMC$  Curve Number (unadjusted) L = Length (ft) S = Slope (ft/ft)  $L^* = Standardized dimensionless length (L/500 ft)$  $S^* = Standardized dimensionless slope (S/0.04)$ 

#### Assumptions

□ Due to the fact that the proposed development has engineered construction features, surficial soils are not considered. Instead, an unadjusted curve number of 90 was conservatively assumed for the proposed conditions. It is noted that, based on the curve numbers listed in Table 2-2d from the TR-55 manual (see subsequent attached pages), a value of 90 for the final landform is conservative, assuming fair hydrologic conditions for Soil Group D with a grassed cover. Soil Group D characteristics are appropriately conservative for modeling due to their high runoff potential.



		Page:	2	of	2
Client:	Rancho Viejo Waste Mana	agement	;, L	LC	
Project:	Pescadito Environmental	Resourc	e C	ente	er
Project #:	148866				
Calculated By:	MTE	Date:	4/	13/1	5
Checked By:	RDS	Date:	4/	14/1	5

#### TITLE: CURVE NUMBERS

- □ The detention basin was modeled with a curve number of 98, which is an appropriate curve number for water surfaces.
- □ A slope length of 179 feet and 4:1 slopes (0.25 ft/ft) are typical of final landform conditions. Due to the fact that the TR-55 curve numbers presented in Table 2-2d are applicable for moderate slopes (up to 0.04 ft/ft), a curve number adjustment for slope is needed for final cover conditions.

#### Calculation

Calculate the adjusted curve number based slope length, slope, and an unadjusted curve number. See Equation 34 in attached HELP Documentation.

$$CN_{II} = 100 - (100 - CN_{II_0}) * \left(\frac{L^{*2}}{S^{*2}}\right)^{CN_{II_0}^{-0.81}}$$
$$CN_{II} = 100 - (100 - 90) * \left(\frac{0.358^2}{6.25^2}\right)^{90^{-0.81}}$$
$$CN_{II} = 91.325 (\approx 92)$$

#### Result

A calculated adjusted curve number based on slope and fair hydrologic conditions for Soil Group D with a grassed cover is 92.



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Conservation Engineering Division

Technical Release 55

June 1986

# Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds

**TR-55** 

Technical Release 55 Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds

#### Table 2-2d Runoff curve numbers for arid and semiarid rangelands 1/

Cover description		Curve numbers for ———— hydrologic soil group ———			
Cover type	Hydrologic condition <sup>2/</sup>	A <u>3</u> /	В	С	D
Herbaceous—mixture of grass, weeds, and	Poor		80	87	93
low-growing brush, with brush the	Fair		71	81	89
minor element.	Good		62	74	85
Oak-aspen—mountain brush mixture of oak brush,	Poor		66	74	79
aspen, mountain mahogany, bitter brush, maple,	Fair		48	57	63
and other brush.	Good		30	41	48
Pinyon-juniper—pinyon, juniper, or both;	Poor		75	85	89
grass understory.	Fair		58	73	80
	Good		41	61	71
Sagebrush with grass understory.	Poor		67	80	85
	Fair		51	63	70
	Good		35	47	55
Desert shrub—major plants include saltbush,	Poor	63	77	85	88
greasewood, creosotebush, blackbrush, bursage,	Fair	55	72	81	86
palo verde, mesquite, and cactus.	Good	49	68	79	84

 $^1$   $\,$  Average runoff condition, and I\_a, = 0.2S. For range in humid regions, use table 2-2c.

<sup>2</sup> Poor: <30% ground cover (litter, grass, and brush overstory).

Fair: 30 to 70% ground cover.

Good: > 70% ground cover.

<sup>3</sup> Curve numbers for group A have been developed only for desert shrub.

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development Washington DC 20460 EPA/600/R-94/168b September 1994



# The Hydrologic Evaluation of Landfill Performance (HELP) Model

Engineering Documentation for Version 3



where

CN	_	AMC-II curve number for mild slope (unadjusted for slope)
CN <sub>IIo</sub>	_	ANIC-II curve number for find slope (unadjusted for slope)
Co	=	regression constant for a given level of vegetation
C <sub>1</sub>	-	regression constant for a given level of vegetation
<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	-	regression constant for a given level of vegetation
IR	=	infiltration correlation parameter for given soil type
elations	hip	between $CN_{\mu}$ , the vegetative cover and default soil texture is

The relationship between  $CN_{\mu}$ , the vegetative cover and default soil texture is shown graphically in Figure 8. Table 7 gives values of  $C_{o_{\mu}}C_{l}$  and  $C_{2}$  for the five types of vegetative cover built into the HELP program.

#### 4.2.3 Adjustment of Curve Number for Surface Slope

A regression equation was developed to adjust the AMC-II curve number for surface slope conditions. The regression was developed based on kinematic wave theory where




Figure 8. Relation between SCS Curve Number and Default Soil Texture Number for Various Levels of Vegetation

Vegetative Cover	Co	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>
Bare Ground	96.77	-20.80	-54.94
Poor Grass	93.51	-24.85	-71.92
Fair Grass	90.09	-23.73	-158.4
Good Grass	86.72	-43.38	-151.2
Excellent Grass	83.83	-26.91	-229.4

#### TABLE 7. CONSTANTS FOR USE IN EQUATION 32

the travel time of runoff from the top of a slope to the bottom of the slope is computed as follows:

$$t_{rwn} = \frac{1.5}{(i-I)^{1/3}} \left(\frac{L^2}{S}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{1.49}{n}\right)^{-2/3}$$
(33)

where

t <sub>run</sub>	=	runoff travel time (time of concentration), minutes
i	=	steady-state rainfall intensity (rate), inches/hour
I	=	steady-state infiltration rate, inches/hour
L	=	slope length, feet
S	=	surface slope, dimensionless
n	=	Manning's roughness coefficient, dimensionless
	•	

A decrease in travel time results in less infiltration because less time is available for infiltration to occur.

Using the KINEROS kinematic runoff and erosion model (Woolhiser, Smith, and Goodrich, 1990), hundreds of runoff estimates were generated using different combinations of soil texture class, level of vegetation, slope, slope length, and rainfall depth, duration and temporal distribution. Using these estimates, the curve number that would yield the estimated runoff was calculated from the rainfall depth and the runoff estimate. These curve numbers were regressed with the slope length, surface slope and the curve number that would be generated for the soil texture and level of vegetation placed at a mild slope. The four soil textures used included loamy sand, sandy loam,

loam, and clayey loam as specified by saturated hydraulic conductivity, capillary drive, porosity, and maximum relative saturation, Two levels of vegetation were described--a good stand of grass (bluegrass sod) and a poor stand of grass (clipped range). Slopes of 0.04,0.10,0.20,0.35, and 0.50 ft/ft and slope lengths of 50, 100, 250, and 500 ft were used. Rainfalls of 1.1 inches, l-hour duration and 2nd quartile Huff distribution and of 3.8 inches, 6-hour duration and balanced distribution were modeled.

The resulting regression equation used for adjusting the AMC-II curve number computed for default soils and vegetation placed at mild slopes,  $CN_{u_o}$ , is:

$$CN_{II} = 100 - (100 - CN_{II_o}) \cdot \left(\frac{L^{*2}}{S^*}\right)^{CN_{II_o}^{-0.81}}$$
 (34)

where

 $L^{\bullet}$  = standardized dimensionless length, (L/500 ft)

S' =standardized dimensionless slope, (S/0.04)

This same equation is used to adjust user-specified AMC-II curve numbers for surface slope conditions by substituting the user value for  $CN_{II_a}$  in Equation 34.

#### 4.2.4 Adjustment of Curve Number for Frozen Soil

When the HELP program predicts frozen conditions to exist, the value of  $CN_{II}$  is increased, resulting in a higher calculated runoff. Knisel et al. (1985) found that this type of curve number adjustment in the CREAMS model resulted in improved predictions of annual runoff for several test watersheds. If the  $CN_{II}$  for unfrozen soil is less than or equal to 80, the  $CN_{II}$  for frozen soil conditions is set at 95. When the unfrozen soil  $CN_{II}$ is greater than 80, the  $CN_{II}$  is reset to be 98 on days when the program has determined the soil to be frozen. This adjustment results in an increase in  $CN_{II}$  and consequently a decrease in  $S_{mI}$  and S' (Equations 19, 26, and 30).

From Equations 19 and 21, it is apparent that as S' approaches zero, Q approaches P. In other words, as S' decreases, the calculated runoff becomes closer to being equal to the net rainfall which is most often, when frozen soil conditions exist, predominantly snowmelt. This will result in a decrease in infiltration under frozen soil conditions, which has been observed in numerous studies.

#### 4.2.5 Summary of Daily Runoff Computation


The HELP model determines daily runoff by the following procedure:

# ATTACHMENT III-C

# **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

4. LAG TIME DETERMINATION (III-C.3-4)



This document is released for the purpose of permitting only under the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650.





Page: 1 of 3Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LTDProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate: 4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate: 4/14/15

#### TITLE: LAG TIME DETERMINATION

#### **Problem Statement**

Summarize the input parameters for HydroCAD related to Time of Concentration lag time determination. These parameters are used to describe how stormwater runoff is distributed over time. The time of concentration is typically defined as the time required for a particle of water to travel from the most hydrologically remote point in a subcatchment area to the point of collection. HydroCAD automatically calculates the Time of Concentration based on the input values summarized in this document.

#### Given

- □ The time of concentration flow paths for the pre-development and intermediate development (post-CLOMR) conditions are outlined in the CLOMR application (see Attachment A of Appendix III-C.1).
- □ The time of concentration flow paths for the post-development conditions were calculated to be the flow paths from the uppermost points in each subcatchment area to the terrace benches collecting those respective subcatchment areas.
- □ The methodology that HydroCAD uses to calculate the SCS lag time is based on Technical Release 55 (TR-55), Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, published by the Soil Conservation Service.
- □ Shallow concentrated flow determinations are based on the TR-55 Shallow Concentrated Flow procedure. Please see the attached Appendix G of the HydroCAD Technical Reference for a summary table of velocity factors for shallow concentrated flow determinations.

#### Assumptions

The following assumptions were made in the calculations:

□ The Manning's coefficient "n" for sheet flow for the proposed conditions is assumed to be 0.15, indicative of short-grass prairie vegetative cover. This number is appropriate for the type of grass anticipated to grow on the landform after final closure and is the HydroCAD default.

CBI

Page:2of3Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LTDProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate:4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate:4/14/15

#### TITLE: LAG TIME DETERMINATION

- □ For each watershed the time of concentration,  $T_c$ , is the sum of the travel times,  $T_t$ , of various consecutive flow segments. There are three types of flow: sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, and open channel flow.
- □ Sheet flow is assumed to become shallow concentrated flow at 300 feet. This assumption is used in the CLOMR application, as well as the pre-development, intermediate and post-development conditions and is based on the TR-55 procedures.
- □ For the proposed final landform and perimeter grading areas, an average velocity factor of 7 ft/sec was assumed in shallow concentrated flow calculations, which is the HydroCAD default for grass pasture. (see attached reference)
- □ A 2-year, 24-hour rainfall (P<sub>2</sub>) of 3.75 inches was chosen based on Figure B-3 of Technical Release 55 (TR-55), Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, published by the Soil Conservation Service and provided in the HydroCAD technical reference (attached).

The following formulas are used by HydroCAD to determine lag times for subcatchment areas:

Sheet Flow:

Sheet flow is flow over plane surfaces and is calculated by HydroCAD using the following equation.

$$T_t = \frac{0.007(nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5}S^{0.4}}$$

Where:

 $T_t = Travel time (hours)$ 

 $P_2 = 2$ -year, 24-hour rainfall

S = Land slope along flow path (ft/ft)

L = Flow Length (ft)

n = Manning's coefficient

Page: 3 of 3



Client:	Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LTD					
Project:	Pescadito Environmental	Resourc	e Center			
Project #:	148866					
Calculated By:	MTE	Date:	4/13/15			
Checked By:	RDS	Date:	4/14/15			

#### TITLE: LAG TIME DETERMINATION

Shallow Concentrated Flow:

Average velocity is calculated by HydroCAD using the following equation.

$$T_t = \frac{L}{3,600V} \qquad V = K_V \sqrt{s}$$

Where:

L	=	Flow Length, ft
V	=	Average velocity, ft/sec
3,600	=	Conversion factor from seconds to hours
K <sub>v</sub>	=	Velocity factor, ft/sec
S	=	Land slope (along flow path), ft/ft

#### Results

A summary of the flow lengths and slopes used to calculate the lag time for each subcatchment area is provided in the Table C.3-4. The table also includes the Time of Concentration calculated by HydroCAD for each subcatchment area.

Please note that the pre-development and intermediate-development (post-CLOMR) drainage areas use a direct input lag time in the models; the calculation methodology for these lag times is outlined in the CLOMR.

			ILE C 3-4 ment Lag Time		
			nental Resource Cente	r	
Subcatchment Name	Sheet Flow Input Values		Shallow Concentrated Flow Input Values		Resultant Time o Concentration
	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	(Min)
DA1	N/A	Pre-Development ( N/A	Conditions (pre-CLOMR N/A	N/A	205.2
DA2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	80.2
DA3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	147.6
DA4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	249.3
	Subcatchme		ditions (post-CLOMR) - C Stormwater Manage	ment System	
DA1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	172.8
DA2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	163.8
DA3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	147.6
DA4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	249,3
DA5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52.2
DA6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35.1
DA7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	47,8
			ment Conditions -		
	Subcatchme		Stormwater Managen	nent System	
1015	179	25%	ershed A N/A	N/A	5.3
10LS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
2015	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LR5	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MR5	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
ASULS	297	25%	N/A	N/A	7,9
ASMLS	272 243	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	7.3
ASLLS	257	25%	N/A	N/A N/A	7.0
ASMRS	300	25%	N/A	N/A	7,9
ASURS	300	25%	36	25%	8.1
		Constanting of the second s	ershed B		
1ULS	284	6%	N/A	N/A	13,4
1LLS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1LRS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1URS	300	6%	13	6%	14,1
2015	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LLS 2LRS	179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5,3 5,3
2URS	179 179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4UR5	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
5LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
SLRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
SURS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6ULS 6LLS	179 179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
6LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
6URS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
B7ULS	298	25%	N/A	N/A	7.9
B7LLS	268	25%	N/A	N/A	7.3
B7LRS	262	25%	N/A	N/A	7,1

		Subcato	ABLE C.3-4 hment Lag Time nmental Resource Cente	r	
ubcatchment Name	Sheet Flow Input Values		Shallow Concentrated Flow input Values		Resultant Time of Concentration
	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	(Min)
		w	atershed C		
1ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
1URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
211.5	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
C5ULS	300	25%	27	25%	8.0
C5MLS	292	25%	N/A	N/A	7.8
C5LLS	251	25%	N/A	N/A	6.9
C5LRS	241	25%	N/A	N/A	6.7
C5MRS	271	25%	N/A	N/A	7,3
C5URS	298	25%	N/A	N/A	7,9
			atershed D		والمتحد والمتعاد
IULS	284	6%	N/A	N/A	13.4
ILLS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1LRS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1URS	300	6%	13	6%	14.1
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	-5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
5LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
SURS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
6LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
6LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
6URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
D7ULS	300	25%	42	25%	8.1
D7LLS	300	25%	14	25%	8.0
D7LRS	300	25%	7	25%	7_9
D7URS	300	25%	27	25%	8.0
		w	atershed E		
1ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
1URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
<b>3ULS</b>	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4URS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A	5.3
ESULS	300	25%	43	25%	8.1
ESMLS	300	25%	17	25%	8.0
			N/A	25% N/A	7.6
ESLLS	286	25%			7.6
E5LRS E5MRS	286 300	25%	N/A 16	N/A 25%	8.0

		Subcato	ABLE C 3-4 :hment Lag Time		
ibcatchment Name	Pescadito Environ Sheet Flow Input Values		nmental Resource Center Shallow Concentrated Flow Input Values		Resultant Time o Concentration
ocurentinent replace	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	(Min)
			atershed F		
1ULS	284	6%	N/A	N/A	13.4
1115	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1LRS 1URS	300 300	6% 6%	284	6% 6%	16.8 14.1
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2015	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
BULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
BLLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6ULS 6LLS	179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
6LLS 6LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5,3
6URS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5,3
F7ULS	300	25%	46	25%	8.1
F7LLS	300	25%	15	25%	8.0
F7LRS	300	25%	13	25%	8.0
F7URS	300	25%	43	25%	8,1
		Ŵ	atershed G		
1ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
1URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3LLS 3LRS	179 179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
G5ULS	300	25%	51	25%	8,1
G5MLS	300	25%	25	25%	8.0
G5LLS	297	25%	N/A	N/A	7.9
GSLRS	295	25%	N/A	N/A	7.8
G5MRS	300	25%	22	25%	8.0
G5URS	300	25%	46 atershed H	25%	8.1
1ULS	284	6%	N/A	N/A	13.4
11LS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1LRS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
IURS	300	6%	13	6%	14.1
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	.5.3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LR5	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LLS 4LRS	179 179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4URS	179		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
5ULS	179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
5LLS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
5LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A	5.3
5URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
GULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
6LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
H7ULS	300	25%	36	25%	8.1
				and the second se	0.0
H7LLS H7LRS	300	25% 25%	16 23	25% 25%	8.0

			BLE C 3-4		
			hment Lag Time nmental Resource Cent	er	
ubcatchment Name	Sheet Flow Ir			ed Flow Input Values	Resultant Time Concentration
	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	(Min)
			atershed I		TROATE A
1ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5_3
1URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
211.5	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2URS	179 179	25% 25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS 3LLS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4MRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
ISULS	298	25%	N/A	N/A	7.9
ISMLS	272	25%	N/A	N/A	7.3
ISLLS	242	25%	N/A	N/A	6.7
ISLRS	253	25%	N/A	N/A	6.9
I5MRS I5URS	294 300	25%	N/A 30	N/A 25%	7_8
IJONS	500		atershed J	2378	0.0
1ULS	284	6%	N/A	N/A	13,4
1115	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1LRS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1URS	300	6%	13	6%	14.1
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS 4LLS	179 179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4LLS 4LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
SLLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
SURS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
6LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
J7ULS	294	25%	N/A	N/A	7.8
J7LLS	266	25%	N/A	N/A N/A	7.2
J7LRS J7URS	268 298	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	7.3
	279		itershed K		
1ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
1URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4ULS	179 179	25%	N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4MLS 4LLS	179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4LLS 4LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4LRS 4MRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4URS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5,3
KSULS	300	25%	23	25%	8.0
KSMLS	287	25%	N/A	N/A	7.7
KSLLS	243	25%	N/A	N/A	6.7
K5LRS	235	25%	N/A	N/A	6.5
the second se		25%	N/A	N/A	7.2
K5MRS	266	23%	1975		1.04

		Subcato	ABLE C 3-4 hment Lag Time nmental Resource Cente	r	
ubcatchment Name	Sheet Flow Input Values		Shallow Concentrated Flow input Values		Resultant Time o Concentration
	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	(Min)
1015	284	6%	atershed L N/A	N/A	13.4
1015	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1LRS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1URS	300	6%	13	6%	14.1
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4URS	.179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
SULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
SLRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
6LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
6URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
L7ULS	300	25%	42	25%	8.1
L7LLS	300	25%	5	25%	7,9
L7LRS	296	25%	N/A	N/A	7.9
L7URS	300	25%	23	25%	8,0
		Wa	itershed M		
1ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
1URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
BULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4MLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4MRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
M5ULS	300	25%	46	25%	8.1
M5MLS	300	25%	16	25%	8.0
M5LLS	286	25%	N/A	N/A	7.6
M5LRS	286	25%	N/A	N/A	7,6
M5MRS	300	25%	15	25%	8.0
MSURS	300	25%	42	25%	8.1
			atershed N		
IULS	284	6%	N/A	N/A	13.4
1115	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1LRS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1URS	300	<u>6%</u>	13	6%	14.1
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2115	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LRS 3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
			N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS 4LLS	179 179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4LLS 4LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
4URS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
5ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
SLRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
GULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
6LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
6URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
N7ULS	300	25%	66	25%	8.2
NTUR	200				
N7LLS N7LRS	300	25%	38	25% 25%	8.1

			ABLE C 3-4		
			chment Lag Time		
		Pescadito Enviro	nmental Resource Cente	r	
ubcatchment Name	Sheet Flow Input Values		Shallow Concentrate	d Flow Input Values	Resultant Time of Concentration
	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	(Min)
			atershed O		
1ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
1URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2ULS	179 179	25% 25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
2LLS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS 2URS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%			5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4MRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
O5ULS	300	25%	48	25%	8.1
O5MLS	300	25%	22	25%	8.0
O5LLS	291	25%	N/A	N/A	7.7
O5LRS	300	25%	N/A	N/A	7.9
O5MRS	300	25%	42	25%	8.1
OSURS	300	25%	66	25%	8.2
144		Ŵ	atershed P		
1ULS	284	6%	N/A	N/A	13,4
1LLS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1LRS	300	6%	284	6%	16.8
1URS	300	6%	13	6%	14.1
2ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
ZLLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
2URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LLS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
3LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
3URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4115	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
4LRS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
4URS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5.3
5ULS	179	25%	N/A	N/A	5,3
SLLS SLRS	179 179	25% 25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
SURS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
6ULS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5,3
6ULS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
6LRS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
6URS	179	25%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.3
P7ULS	300	25%	30	25%	8.0
P7LLS	300	25%	N/A	N/A	7.9
P7LES	300	25%	13	25%	8.0
PTURS	300	25%	48	25%	8.1
17010			r Watersheds	2.370	
WMCS	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0
WMS	300	N/A	121	N/A	37.8
EMCS	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0
EMS	300	N/A	282	N/A	45,6
SDBS	60	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.4

#### **Average Land Slope**

The average land slope (or average watershed slope) is a critical factor in the use of the Curve Number method, as described on page 53. A theoretical determination would require placing a grid over the subcatchment and averaging the slopes for all squares. Other techniques are available that have more modest data requirements, such as the following equation from <u>NEH</u> p15-5:

$$Y = 100 \frac{C I}{A}$$
Eq. 5  
Y=Average land slope [percent]  
C=Total Contour length [ft] or [m]  
I=Contour Interval [ft] or [m]

C is obtained by adding the length of all contour lines within the subcatchment. The accuracy of this technique depends on having a sufficient number of contour lines within the subcatchment. Reducing the contour interval will generally increase the accuracy of the result.

A=Land Area [ft<sup>2</sup>] or [m<sup>2</sup>]

#### **Sheet Flow Procedure**

The Sheet Flow procedure is designed for flow over plane surfaces, as usually occurs at the headwaters of a catchment area. (See  $\underline{NEH} p.15-6$ ) The following equation is used for sheet flow:

$$T_{t} = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{.8}}{P_{2}^{.5} s^{.4}}$$
Eq. 6

n=Manning's coefficient for sheet flow (See page 167) L=Flow length [ft] P<sub>2</sub>=2-year, 24-hour rainfall [inches] (See map on page 159) s=Land slope (along flow path) [ft/ft]

Determining the actual length of sheet flow is critical to this method. Although the technique was originally intended for lengths up to 300 feet, most agencies now recommend a maximum of 100 feet. In any case, the length should not extend past the point where there is evidence of concentrated flow on the ground. The length is also critical in that Sheet Flow is often a dominant factor in a subcatchment's total Tc.

Note: At the point where sheet flow no longer occurs, additional segments of shallow concentrated flow and/or channel flow are typically used to evaluate the remainder of the flow path. The total time for all flow segments is used in the final runoff calculations.

#### **Shallow Concentrated Flow**

Shallow concentrated flow (aka Upland Method) is designed for conditions that occur in the headwaters of a watershed, including overland flow, grassed waterways, paved areas, and through small upland gullies. Shallow concentrated flow does not have a well-defined channel, and generally has flow depths of 0.1 to 0.5 feet. Although commonly published as a chart of velocity vs. slope for various surfaces (see <u>NEH</u> Ch.15), shallow concentrated flow is based on the following equations:

$$T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$$
 where  $V = K_v \sqrt{s}$  Eq. 7

T<sub>t</sub>=Travel time [hours] L=Flow length [ft] or [m] V=Average velocity [ft/sec] or [m/sec] K<sub>v</sub>=Velocity factor [ft/sec] or [m/sec] (See page 168) s=Land slope (along flow path) [ft/ft] or [m/m]

See page 168 for a list of common K<sub>v</sub> values provided with HydroCAD.


#### **Channel Flow**


The Channel Flow procedure (see  $\underline{\text{TR-55}}$  p.3-3) is commonly employed where surveyed crosssections are available, or anywhere the velocity can be reasonably determined by Manning's equation.

$$T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$$
 where  $V = \frac{1.486 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}}{n}$  and  $r = \frac{a}{P_w}$  Eq. 8

T<sub>t</sub>=Travel time [hours] L=Flow length [ft] or [m] V=Average velocity [ft/sec] or [m/sec] n=Manning's coefficient (See table on page 162) s=Channel slope [ft/ft] or [m/m] r=Hydraulic radius [ft] or [m] a=Cross-sectional flow area [ft<sup>2</sup>] or [m<sup>2</sup>] P<sub>w</sub>=Wetted perimeter [ft] or [m] 1.486=English factor (use 1 for metric evaluation)

In addition to allowing direct entry of cross-sectional area and wetted perimeter, HydroCAD provides automatic flow analysis of many standard channel and pipe shapes as described on page 169.





This appendix reprinted from S.C.S. TR-55, revised 1986.

#### **Appendix G: Velocity Factors**

The Shallow Concentrated Flow procedure (a.k.a. Upland Method) uses a velocity factor,  $K_v$ , as listed below. The first two surfaces (paved and unpaved) are the basis for <u>TR-55</u> Figure 3-1, and the factors were originally obtained from <u>TR-55</u> Appendix F. The remaining surfaces were taken from <u>NEH-4</u> Figure 15.2, with the factors derived from that chart. Subsequent revisions to <u>NEH</u> Part 630 provide numerical  $K_v$  values which are in good agreement with the original chart, except for "Grassed Waterways", which appears to have changed from 15.0 to 16.13, making it the same as the TR-55 "Unpaved" condition. For compatibility with previous calculations, the HydroCAD lookup table continues to supply the original  $K_v$  values as listed below. If different values are required for any reason, HydroCAD allows direct  $K_v$  entry instead of using the lookup table. See page 55 for further details on Shallow Concentrated Flow.

Surface Description	K <sub>v</sub> [ft/sec]	K <sub>v</sub> [m/sec]
Paved	20.33	6.2
Unpaved	16.13	4.92
Grassed Waterway	15.0	4.57
Nearly Bare & Untilled	10.0	3.05
Cultivated Straight Rows	9.0	2.74
Short Grass Pasture	7.0	2.13
Woodland	5.0	1.52
Forest w/Heavy Litter	2.5	0.76


Some descriptions have been abbreviated. Velocity factors have the same units as a velocity, and may be converted between English and metric as described on page 43.

**ATTACHMENT III-C** 

### **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

#### 5. SUBCATCHMENT AREA DISCHARGE RATES (III-C.3-5)



This document is released for the purpose of permitting only under the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650.



1



		Page:	1	of	1
Client:	Rancho Viejo Waste Man	agemen	t, I	LC	
Project:	Pescadito Environmental	Resour	ce (	Cent	er
Project #:	148866				
Calculated By:	МТЕ	Date:	4/	13/1	5
Checked By:	RDS	Date:	4/	14/1	5

#### TITLE: SUBCATCHMENT AREA DISCHARGES RATES

#### **Problem Statement**

Determine the stormwater runoff rates for the post-development conditions for the Pescadito Environmental Resource Center. Stormwater discharge rates from the various catchment and subcatchment areas are used to determine the adequacy of terrace berms, downchutes, and other stormwater controls.

#### Given

The stormwater runoff was calculated using HydroCAD. Various parameters, such as rainfall, drainage area, flow lengths within catchments, and discharge and storage volume of the stormwater detention basins are entered into the program. This calculation provides a summary of these input values and the model results. Equations to determine these parameters are described in previous portions of this Appendix (III-C.3-1 through III-C.3-4).

#### Storm Model Setup

The stormwater methodology and base information was defined as follows:

Runoff Calculation Method:	SCS TR-20
Reach Routing Method:	Storage Indication Method (also known as Modified-Puls)
Pond Routing Method:	Storage Indication Method (also known as Modified-Puls)
Storm Distribution:	SCS Type III 24-hour storm
Unit Hydrograph:	SCS

#### Model Calculations and Results

The stormwater model was analyzed for the 24-hour storm events for the 25-year and 100-year frequencies. Table C.3-5 summarizes the discharge rates for the 25-year and 100-year storm events, respectively. In addition, reports summarizing the results of the HydroCAD model runs for each storm event are provided in Appendix III-C.4.

TABLE C 3-5 Subcatchment Area Discharge Summary			
Pescadito Environmental Resource Center Discharge Rate (cfs)			
ubcatchment Name	25-year, 24-hour Storm 100-year, 24-hour S e-Development Conditions (pre-CLOMR)		
DA1	5.577.72	7,899.97	
DA1 DA2	1,194.90	1,687.61	
DA3	2,631.28	3,835.91	
DA4	2,669.37	3,819.68	
	termediate Conditions (post-		
	Outside of PERC Stormwate		
DA1	4,896.53	6,885.92	
DA2	622,69	882.57	
DA3	2,896.20	4,191.47	
DA4 DA5	2,669.42 317.73	3,819.89 471.92	
DAG	255.01	380.18	
DA7	703,60	1,024,74	
	Post-Development Conditi	ons -	
Subcatchment	s Inside of PERC Stormwater	Management System	
	Watershed A		
1ULS	9.57	12.12	
1URS 2ULS	9.44	11.95	
2013	5.12	6.49	
2LRS	5.02	6.36	
2URS	11.79	14.92	
3ULS	13.22	16.73	
3LLS	11.81	14.95	
3LRS	11.50	14.56	
3URS	12.97	16.41	
4ULS	13.22	16.74	
4MLS	14.56	18.43	
4LLS 4LRS	5.12	6.48	
4LRS 4MRS	5.02 14.15	6.35	
4URS	12.96	16.41	
ASULS	20.77	26.30	
A5MLS	23.28	29.47	
A5LLS	18.10	22.85	
A5LRS	17.92	22.63	
A5MRS	23.59	29.86	
A5URS	21.13 Watershed B	26.75	
1ULS	5.19	6.58	
1LLS	18.84	23.86	
1LRS	20.00	25.33	
1URS	5.91	7.49	
2ULS	13,07	16,54	
2LLS	11.63	14.72	
2LR5	11.64	14.73	
2URS	12.76	16.15	
BULS	11.66 11.65	14.75 14.74	
3LLS 3LRS	11.65	14.75	
BURS	11.65	14.75	
4ULS	11.65	14.75	
4LLS	11.67	14,77	
4LRS	11.59	14.67	
4URS	11.59	14.68	
5ULS	11.65	14.74	
SLLS	11.69	14.79	
5LRS	11.57	14.65	
SURS	11.59	14.67	
GULS	11.65	14.74	
6LLS 6LRS	11.71 11.49	14.82 14.54	
6URS	11.49	14.54	
B7ULS	18.10	22.91	
B7LLS	16.85	21.33	
B7LRS	16.56	20.97	
87URS	17.93	22.70	

TABLE C,3-5 Subcatchment Area Discharge Summary Pescadito Environmental Resource Center				
jubcatchment Name Discharge Rate (cfs)				
Juocatenment Name	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm		
1015	Watershed C 9.26	11.72		
10C3	9.38	11.88		
2ULS	11.41	14.45		
ZLLS	4,82	6.10		
2LRS	4,90	6.20		
2URS 3ULS	<u>11.62</u> 12.59	14.70		
3LLS	10.90	13.80		
3LRS	11.15	14.11		
3URS	12.81	16.22		
4ULS	12.59	15.94		
4MLS 4LLS	13.35	16.90 6.10		
4LRS	4.90	6.20		
4MRS	13.68	17.31		
4URS	12.82	16.22		
C5ULS	21.00	26.59		
C5MLS	21.97	27.82		
C5LLS C5LRS	16.69 16.83	21.07		
C5MRS	21.84	27.64		
C5URS	20.09	25.43		
	Watershed D			
1ULS	4.27	5.41		
1LLS 1LRS	14.55 14.59	18.42		
1URS	4,19	5.31		
ZULS	11.21	14.18		
2LLS	9,67	12.24		
2LRS	9,69	12.27		
2URS	11,15	14.11		
3ULS	9.69	12.27		
3LRS	9,73	12.32		
3URS	9,74	12.32		
4ULS	9,69	12.26		
4LLS	9.71	12.29		
4LRS 4URS	9.73	12.31		
5ULS	9.68	12.32		
5LLS	9,71	12.29		
5LRS	9,73	12.31		
5URS	9,74	12.33		
6ULS	9,74	12.33		
6LLS 6LRS	9.71 9.72	12.29 12.31		
GURS	9.74	12.33		
D7ULS	16.88	21,37		
D7LLS	15,83	20.04		
D7LRS	15.74	19.93		
D7URS	16.59 Watershed E	21,01		
1ULS	9.13	11.55		
1URS	9.35	11.84		
2ULS	11.26	14.26		
2LLS	4.80	6.08		
2LRS	4.80	6.08		
2URS 3ULS	11.47 12.45	14.52 15.76		
BULS	12.45	13.73		
3LRS	10.85	13.73		
3URS	12.67	16.04		
4ULS	12.45	15,76		
4MLS	13.28	16.80		
4LLS 4LRS	4.80 4.80	6.08 6.08		
4LRS 4MRS	13.28	16.80		
4URS	12.65	16.02		
E5ULS	21.76	27.54		
E5MLS	23,56	29.83		
ESLLS	19.46	24.56		
E5LRS E5MRS	19.46 23.56	24.56 29.83		
ESURS	22.13	28.02		

Subcatchment Area Discharge Summary			
P	escadito Environmental Resou Dischare	irce Center e Rate (cfs)	
bcatchment Name	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storn	
	25-year, 24-hour Storm Watershed F	Too year, 24 nour storn	
1ULS	5,70	7.22	
1LLS	18.50	23.43	
1LRS 1URS	17.60 5.05	22,29 6,39	
2ULS	12.41	15.71	
2LLS	10.67	13.51	
2LRS	10.68	13.52	
2URS 3ULS	12.03 10.79	15.23	
3LLS	10.78	13.64	
3LRS	10.79	13,65	
3URS	10.79	13.66	
4ULS 4LLS	10.76	13.62	
4LRS	10.72	13.58	
4URS	10.72	13.57	
5ULS	10.77	13.63	
5LLS 5LRS	10.72	13.57	
5URS	10.73	13.57	
6ULS	10.79	13.66	
6LLS	10.72	13.57	
6LRS 6URS	10.73	13.58 13.57	
F7ULS	10.72 18.91	23.94	
F7LLS	17.30	21.90	
F7LRS	17.27	21.87	
F7URS	18.62 Watershed G	23.58	
1ULS	9,31	11,79	
1URS	9,32	11,80	
2ULS	11.27	14,26	
2LLS	4,65	5.89	
2LRS 2URS	4.67	5,91 14,27	
BULS	12.45	15,76	
3LLS	10.39	13.16	
3LRS	10.44	13,22	
BURS	12.43	15,74	
4ULS 4MLS	12.46	15.77 16.04	
4LLS	4,65	5,88	
4LRS	4,67	5,91	
4MRS	12.74	16.12	
4URS G5ULS	12.42 22.30	15,72 28,23	
G5MLS	23.20	29.37	
G5LLS	19.37	24,46	
G5LRS	19.46	24,56	
G5MRS G5URS	23.12 21.89	29.27	
GJURJ	Watershed H	27.71	
1ULS	3,12	3,95	
1LLS	13,78	17.46	
1LRS	16.05	20.32	
1URS 2ULS	4.83	6.12 13.92	
2ULS 2LLS	9.78	12.38	
2LRS	9.83	12,44	
2URS	11.56	14.64	
BULS	9.87	12.50	
3LLS 3LRS	9.88	12.50 12.53	
3URS	9.91	12,54	
4ULS	9,83	12,44	
4LLS	9,84	12.46	
4LRS	9.84 9.85	12.45	
4URS 5ULS	9.85	12,47	
5LLS	9.85	12.47	
5LRS	9.82	12.44	
5URS	9.85	12.47	
6ULS	9,83 9.87	12.44	
6LLS 6LRS	9.87	12.49	
6URS	9,85	12.47	
H7ULS	17.09	21.63	
H7LLS	16.35	20.69	
H7LRS H7URS	16,35 17.57	20.69 22.24	

TABLE C.3-5 Subcatchment Area Discharge Summary Pescadito Environmental Resource Center				
Discharge Rate (cfs)				
Subcatchment Name 25-year, 24-hour Storm 100-year, 24-hour Sto Watershed I				
1ULS	9.36	11.85		
1URS	9.22	11.67		
ZULS	12.02	15,22		
2LLS 2LRS	5,10	6.45		
2URS	11.83	14.97		
3ULS	12.87	16,29		
3LLS	11.35	14,36		
3LRS	11.08	14,02		
3URS 4ULS	12.67 12.90	16.03 16.33		
4MLS	13.88	17.57		
4LLS	4,90	6,21		
4LRS	4.82	6,10		
4MRS	13.53	17.12		
4URS ISULS	12.69 21.50	16.07 27.21		
ISMLS	23.68	29.98		
ISLLS	18.50	23,36		
ISLRS	18.31	23.12		
I5MRS	23.81	30,14		
ISURS	22.50 Watershed J	28.49		
1ULS	4,53	5.73		
1LLS	15.09	19,11		
1LRS 1URS	15.54	19,68		
2015	11.75	14,88		
2LLS	9.99	12.65		
2LRS	10.10	12,79		
2URS	11.70	14.81		
BULS	10.03	12,70		
3LLS 3LRS	10.03	12.70		
3URS	9.86	12.48		
4ULS	10.02	12,68		
4LLS	10.02	12,69		
4LRS	10.13	12,82		
4URS 5ULS	10.26	12.99		
5LLS	10.04	12.71		
5LRS	10.06	12,73		
5URS	10.07	12,74		
6ULS	10.02	12,68		
6LLS	10.05	12.72		
6LRS 6URS	10.04	12.71 12.74		
J7ULS	16.54	20.93		
J7LLS	15.67	19.83		
J7LRS	15.59	19.74		
J7URS	16.67 Watershed K	21.11		
1ULS	9.69	12.26		
1URS	9.92	12.56		
2ULS	11.83	14,98		
2LLS	4.87	6.17		
2LRS	4,95	6.27		
2URS 3ULS	12.15	15.38 16.46		
3LLS	11.06	14.00		
3LRS	11.30	14.31		
3URS	13.35	16.89		
4ULS	13.01	16.46		
4MLS 4LLS	13.59 4.84	17.20		
4LLS 4LRS	4.95	6.27		
4MRS	13.89	17.57		
4URS	13.33	16.87		
K5ULS	22.67	28.70		
K5MLS	23.60	29.87		
KSLLS	17.85	22,53		
K5LRS K5MRS	18.21 23.33	22.99 29.53		
K5URS	21.97	27.82		

	Subcatchment Area Discharge	
	escadito Environmental Resou Dischare	e Rate (cfs)
bcatchment Name		
	25-year, 24-hour Storm Watershed L	100-year, 24-hour Storm
1ULS	7,74	9,80
1LLS	24.52	31,05
1LRS	24,64	31,21
1URS 2ULS	8.04 14.62	10,18 18,50
2013	13.11	16,59
2LRS	13.03	16,49
2URS	14.69	18,59
3ULS	13,19	16,70
3LLS 3LRS	13.20 13.15	16.70 16.64
BURS	13.12	16,61
4ULS	13,13	16,62
4LLS	13.13	16.62
4LRS	13.11	16,59
4URS 5ULS	13.09 13.13	16.57 16.62
5LLS	13.11	16.60
5LRS	13,12	16,60
5URS	13.09	16,56
6ULS	13.14	16,63
6LLS 6LRS	13.11 13.13	16,59 16,62
6URS	13.10	16.58
L7ULS	23.90	30,25
L7LLS	21.87	27.69
L7LRS L7URS	21.70 23.11	27.47 29.26
L/OKS	Watershed M	23,20
1ULS	9.66	12,23
1URS	9.49	12.01
2ULS	11.86	15.01
2LLS 2LRS	4.84	6.13
2URS	11.65	14,74
3ULS	12.97	16.42
3LLS	10.96	13.87
3LRS	10.96	13.87
3URS 4ULS	12.84	16.25 16.47
4MLS	13.43	17.00
4LLS	4.84	6.12
4LRS	4.84	6.12
4MRS 4URS	13.43 12.85	17.00
M5ULS	22.85	28.93
M5MLS	23.81	30.14
M5LLS	19.63	24.78
M5LRS	21.03	26.62 31.90
M5MRS M5URS	25.20 23.71	31.90
	Watershed N	
1ULS	4.40	5,58
1115	14.71	18.63
1LRS 1URS	14.02 4.42	17.75 5.60
ZULS	11.33	14.34
2LLS	9.58	12.12
2LRS	9,43	11.93
2URS	11.26	14.25
3ULS 3LLS	9.60 9.60	12.15
3LRS	9.48	12.01
3URS	9.52	12.05
4ULS	9.62	12.18
4LLS ALRS	9.59 9.52	12.14
4LRS 4URS	9.52	12.05
5ULS	9.61	12,17
5LLS	9.57	12.12
5LRS	9.54	12.08
5URS	9.51	12.04
6ULS 6LLS	9.58 9.54	12.08
6LRS	9.55	12.09
6URS	9.51	12.04
N7ULS	17.68	22.38
N7LLS N7LRS	16.46 16.38	20.83
N7URS	16.92	21.42


	TABLE C.3-5		
	ubcatchment Area Discharge		
P	escadito Environmental Resou		
atchment Name	Discharge Rate (cfs) chment Name		
	25-year, 24-hour Storm Watershed O	100-year, 24-hour Storm	
1ULS	9.27	11.74	
1URS	9.39	11.89	
ZULS	11.81	14.95	
2LLS	5.10	6.46	
2LRS	5.10	6.46	
2URS	11.72	14.83	
3ULS	12.65	16.01	
3LLS	11.34	14.35	
3LRS	11.03	13.96	
3URS	12.75	16.13	
4ULS	12.67	16.03	
4MLS	13.85	17.53	
4LLS	4.88	6.18	
4LRS	4.88	6.18	
4MRS	13.46	17.04	
4URS	12,76	16.15	
O5ULS	23.70	30.00	
O5MLS	26.35	33.36	
O5LLS	21.70	27.47	
O5LRS	21.46	27.16	
O5MRS	26.61	33.68	
OSURS	23.82	30.15	
	Watershed P		
1ULS	7.68	9.73	
ILLS	23.62	29.92	
1LRS	24.12	30.54	
1URS	8.09	10.24	
2ULS	14.29	18.09	
2LLS	12.60	15.94	
2LRS	12.67	16.04	
ZURS	14.47	18.31	
BULS	12.34	15.62	
3LLS	12.35	15.63	
3LRS	12.39	15.68	
3URS	12.37	15.66	
4ULS	12.96	16.40	
4LLS	13.00	16.45	
4LRS	13.02	16.48	
4URS	13.01	16.47	
5ULS	12.67	16.03	
5LLS	12.69	16.06	
5LRS	12.68	16.05	
5URS	12.68	16.05	
GULS	12.65	16.01	
GLLS	12.70	16.07	
6LRS	12.66	16.02	
6URS	12.69	16.06	
PTULS	22.78	28.84	
P7LLS	21.56	27.29	
P7LRS	21.42	27.12	
P7URS	23.51	29.76	
يتعا محديد	Other Watersheds		
WMCS	3.63	4.58	
WMS	42.51	53.87	
EMCS	10.14	12.8	
EMS	65.07	82.45	
SDBS	435.48	544.86	

# **ATTACHMENT III-C**

### **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

6. TERRACE BENCHES (III-C.3-6)



This document is released for the purpose of permitting only under the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650.





Page:1of2Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate:4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate:4/14/15

#### TITLE: TERRACE BENCHES

### **Problem Statement**

Demonstrate that the proposed terrace benches are sized to handle the peak flow volumes anticipated for the 100-year, 24-hour and 25-year, 24-hour storm events and determine the proper sizing for the check dam outlet pipes.

#### Given

- The locations of the terrace benches are shown on Drawing 6 in Appendix III-C.2.
- The model configuration of the terrace benches are shown in the HydroCAD output files in Appendix III-C.4
- The details of the terrace benches are shown on Drawing 7 in Appendix III-C.2.

### Assumptions

- Terrace benches will be constructed with a 2% channel slope.
- □ Terrace benches will have a 2% slope downhill perpendicular to the terrace bench channel slope; this will facilitate drainage of all stormwater to the check dam outlet pipes.
- □ Terrace bench sideslopes will have 3H:1V sideslopes on the downslope side and will follow the final landform slope on the upslope side (i.e. 4H:1V on sideslopes).
- The check dams will be constructed to a height of 4 feet above the channel bottom at the end of each terrace bench section.
- Terrace bench sections and check dams are sized to detain the peak discharge rate from the 100-year, 24-hour storm for the entire subcatchment area that they serve.
- All terrace benches will be lined with vegetation.



Page:2of2Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate:4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate:4/14/15

### TITLE: TERRACE BENCHES

- □ Terrace bench elevation-area input parameters were determined using a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet to calculate the surface area of the terrace bench at seven elevations: 1) The end (bottom) of the terrace bench at the channel bottom (0 ft elevation), 2) The elevation at 0.1 feet to account for the 2% slope perpendicular to the terrace bench, 3-6) 1 foot elevation increases from 1 foot to 4 feet, and 7) The top elevation of the terrace bench at 4.7 feet. These seven elevation-area relationships were input into HydroCAD for each terrace bench, allowing HydroCAD to interpolate the storage volume at any elevation within each terrace bench.
- Outlet pipes in each check dam of the North Unit are 14" (11.8" inside diameter) HDPE pipes, with 7" (6" inside diameter) HDPE pipes in the South Unit (or equivalent, at the discretion of the engineer). Outlet pipes within the check dams will allow all stormwater to drain from the terrace benches and will prevent ponding of stormwater between storm events.

### Calculations

Table C.3-6 summarizes the peak discharge rates, and peak water depths in the terrace benches for the 25-year, 24-hour storm and the 100-year, 24-hour storm.

Please refer to Appendix III-C.4 for HydroCAD output files that supplement these summary tables.

### Results

Based on the results presented in Table C.3-6, the critical findings are noted:

- 1. The peak depth for all vegetated terrace benches is less than the design depth for the 100-year and 25-year storm events. Therefore, stormwater will not overtop the terrace berms.
- 2. The check dam outlet pipes are adequately sized to handle the flows through the terrace benches without the terrace berms overtopping during the 100-year and 25-year storm events.
- 3. Check dams overtop during the 100 year, 24 hour and 25 year, 24 hour storm events. When overtopping, stormwater flows into the downchute with the discharge from the terrace bench outlet pipe and never exceeds the height of the terrace berm (4.7 feet).

		TABLE C.3-6 Terrace Bench Summar	v	
		Pescadito Environmental Resou		
Peak Depth (ft) Discharge Rate (cfs)				
Terrace Name	Peak D	lepth (ft)	Discharg	e Rate (cfs)
	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storn
		Watershed A		
1ULT	2.92	3.46	4.50	4.97
1URT	2.89	3.42	4.47	4.94
2ULT	3.44	4.02	4.96	6.03
2LLT	3.71	4.05	5.18	7.14
2LRT	3.67	4.05	5.15	6.76
2URT	3.39	3.99	4.91	5.40
3ULT	3.67	4.09	5.15	9.14
3LLT	4.15	4.22	12.85	18.87
3LRT	4.14	4.22	12.21	18.29
3URT	3.62	4.08	5.11	8.45
4ULT	3.67	4.09	5.15	9.15
4MLT	4.20	4.26	17.34	22.95
4LLT	4.20	4.31	16.71	27.36
4LRT	4,19	4.30	15.69	26.22
4MRT	4.20	4.26	16.62	22.35
4URT	3.62	4.08	5.11	8.44
		Watershed B		
1ULT	2.07	2.53	3.63	4.12
1LLT	4.26	4.31	22.19	27.65
1LRT	4,27	4.33	23,58	29,33
1URT	2.34	2.84	3.92	4.42
2ULT	3.64	4.09	5.12	8.70
2LLT	4.14	4.22	12.48	18.54
2LRT	4.14	4.22	12.36	18.46
2URT	3.58	4.07	5.08	7.92
3ULT	3.36	3.96	4.89	5.38
3LLT	4.14	4.21	11.86	18.11
3LRT	4,10	4,19	9.37	15.90
<b>3URT</b>	2.28	2.70	3.86	4.29
4ULT	3.36	3,96	4.89	5.38
4LLT	4.14	4.21	11.89	18.16
4LRT	4,13	4.21	11.74	17.99
4URT	3.35	3.95	4.88	5.37
5ULT	3.36	3.96	4,89	5.38
5LLT	4.14	4.21	11.93	18.19
5LRT	4.13	4,21	11.70	17.95
SURT	3.35	3.95	4.88	5.37
6ULT	3.36	3.96	4.89	5.38
6LLT	4.14	4.21	11.96	18.22
6LRT	4.13	4.21	11.56	17.80
6URT	3.35	3.95	4.88	5.37

TABLE C.3-6 Terrace Bench Summary					
Pescadito Environmental Resource Center					
Peak Depth (ft) Discharge Rate (cfs)					
errace Name					
_	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-γear, 24-hour Storm Watershed C	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storn	
1ULT	2.85	3.38	4.43	4.90	
1021 1URT	2.88	3.41	4.46	4.93	
2ULT	3.31	3.91	4.85	5.33	
2LLT	3.60	4.03	5.09	6.24	
2LRT	3.63	4.04	5.12	6.46	
2URT	3.36	3.95	4.89	5.37	
3ULT	3,55	4.06	5.05	7,48	
3LLT	4.12	4.20	11.06	17.08	
3LRT	4,13	4.21	11,53	17,60	
3URT	3.59	4.07	5.08	8.05	
4ULT	3.55	4.06	5.05	7,48	
4MLT	4.18	4.25	15.17	21.15	
4LLT	4.16	4.28	13.95	23,96	
4LRT	4.17	4.28	14.66	24.98	
4MRT	4,19	4.25	15.82	21,67	
4URT	3.59	4.07	5.08	8.06	
		Watershed D	2.24	2.60	
1ULT	1.73	2.12	3.21	3.69	
1LLT 1LRT	4.20	4.25	17,19 17.20	21.87 21.88	
1URT	1.70	2.09	3.17	3.65	
2017	3.27	3.86	4.81	5.30	
20LT	4.09	4.17	8.87	14.05	
2LRT	4.09	4.17	8.87	14.07	
2URT	3.26	3.84	4.80	5.28	
BULT	2.94	3.49	4.52	5.00	
3LLT	4.08	4.16	8.33	13.37	
3LRT	4.08	4.16	8.37	13.43	
3URT	2.95	3.50	4.53	5.01	
4ULT	2.94	3.49	4.52	5.00	
4LLT	4.08	4.16	8.33	13.36	
4LRT	4.08	4.16	8.36	13.42	
4URT	2.95	3.50	4.53	5.01	
SULT	2.94	3.49	4.52	5.00	
5LLT	4.08	4.16	8.33	13.36	
5LRT	4.08	4.16	8.36	13.42	
SURT	2.96	3.50	4.53	5.01	
6ULT	2.95	3.50	4.53	5.01	
6LLT	4.08	4,16	8.35	13.39	
6LRT	4.08	4.16	8.36	13.41	
6URT	2.96	3.50	4.53	5.01	
11117	202	Watershed E	4 40	A 00	
1ULT 1URT	2.82	3.34 3.40	4.40	4.88	
2ULT	3.28	3.87	4,45	5.31	
20L1 2LLT	3.58	4.03	5.07	6.13	
2LLT 2LRT	3.60	4.03	5.09	6.25	
2URT	3.33	3.92	4.86	5.34	
3ULT	3.52	4.05	5.03	7.13	
3LLT	4,12	4,20	10.91	16.93	
3LRT	4.12	4.20	10.99	17.01	
BURT	3.57	4.07	5.06	7.69	
4ULT	3.52	4.05	5.03	7.13	
4MLT	4.18	4.25	15.00	21.01	
4LLT	4.16	4.27	13.77	23.71	
4LRT	4.16	4.27	13.85	23.80	
4MRT	4.18	4.25	15.07	21.05	
4URT	3.56	4.07	5.06	7.65	

Terrace Bench Summary Pescadito Environmental Resource Center				
Peak Depth (ft) Discharge Rate (cfs)				
Ferrace Name	25-γear, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storr
		Watershed F		
10LT	2.27	2,76	3.85	4.35
1LLT	4.26 4.24	4.31 4.29	22.00 20.88	27.36 26.03
1LRT 1URT	2,03	2,48	3.58	4.07
2017	3.51	4.05	5.02	7.02
2LLT	4.12	4.20	10.64	16.60
2LRT	4.12	4.20	10.49	16.47
2URT	3.44	4.02	4.96	6.04
3ULT	3.18	3.76	4.74	5.22
3LLT	4.11	4.19	10.12	16.13
3LRT	4.11	4.19	10.13	16.14
3URT 4ULT	3.18	3.76	4.74	5.22
40LT	4.11	4.19	10.02	16.00
4LRT	4.11	4.19	10.02	16.00
4URT	3.17	3.74	4.72	5.20
SULT	3.18	3.75	4.73	5.21
5LLT	4.11	4,19	10.02	16.00
5LRT	4.11	4.19	10.01	16.00
5URT	3.17	3.74	4.72	5.20
6ULT	3.18	3.76	4,74	5.22
6LLT	4.11	4.19	10.03	16.01
6LRT 6URT	4.11 3.17	4.19 3.74	10.01 4.72	15.99 5.20
DONT	5.17	Watershed G	4.72	5.20
1ULT	2.86	3.39	4.44	4.92
1URT	2.86	3.40	4.44	4.92
2ULT	3.28	3.87	4.82	5.31
2LLT	3.55	4.02	5.05	5.87
2LRT	3.55	4.02	5.05	5.90
2URT	3.28	3.87	4.82	5.31
3ULT	3.52	4.05	5.03	7.13
3LLT	4.11	4.19 4.19	10.25 10.31	16.04 16.14
3LRT 3URT	4.11 3.52	4.05	5.02	7.08
4ULT	3.52	4.05	5.03	7.14
4MLT	4.16	4.24	14.00	20.08
4LLT	4.15	4.26	12.79	22.07
4LRT	4.15	4.26	12.88	22.22
4MRT	4.17	4.24	14.09	20.17
4URT	3.52	4.05	5.02	7.03
		Watershed H		
10LT	1.30	1.59	2.59	3.03
1LLT 1LRT	4.18 4.23	4.24 4.28	15.30 19.11	20.27 23.96
1URT	4.23	2.39	3.49	3.98
2ULT	3.23	3.81	4.77	5.26
2LLT	4.09	4.17	8.91	14.18
2LRT	4.10	4.17	9.19	14.53
2URT	3.34	3.94	4.88	5.36
3ULT	2.98	3,53	4.56	5.04
3LLT	4.08	4.16	8.58	13.82
3LRT	4.09	4.16	8.62	13.88
3URT	2.99	3.54	4.57	5.04
4ULT	2.97	3.52	4.55	5.03 13.72
4LLT	4.08 4.08	4.16	8.53 8.53	13.72
4LRT 4URT	2.98	3.53	4.55	5.03
5ULT	2.97	3.52	4.55	5.02
5LLT	4.08	4.16	8.54	13.74
SLRT	4,08	4.16	8.51	13.69
5URT	2.98	3.53	4.55	5.03
6ULT	2,97	3.52	4.55	5.03
6LLT	4.08	4.16	8.55	13.77
6LRT	4.08	4.16	8.50	13.66
6URT	2.98	3.53	4.55	5.03

		TABLE C.3-6	والمراجع والمحادية		
		Terrace Bench Summar Pescadito Environmental Resou			
Terrace Name	Peak D	epth (ft)	Discharge	ge Rate (cfs)	
	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storn	
1ULT	4.06	4.14	3.32	7.95	
1URT	4,05	4.13	3.11	7.54	
2ULT	4.14	4.22	8.25	14.28	
2LLT	4.13	4.25	7,70	<u> </u>	
2LRT 2URT	4.13	4.25	7.25	13.91	
3ULT	4,14	4.23	10.08	15.67	
3LLT	4.17	4.36	18.51	29.04	
3LRT	4.25	4.35	17.68	28.30	
3URT	4.16	4.23	9.64	15.35	
4ULT	4.17	4.23	10.15	15.73	
4MLT	4.28	4.39	20.79	32.26	
4LLT	4.31	4.43	24.02	37.47	
4LRT	4.30	4.42	22.91	36.44	
4MRT	4.27	4.38	19.81	31.40	
4URT	4.16	4.23	9.70	15.39	
		Watershed J			
1ULT	3.19	3.67	1.28	1,38	
1LLT	4.24	4.28	16.17	20.27	
1LRT	4,24	4.28	16.62	20.84	
1URT	3.20	3.68	1.28	1.38	
2ULT	4.13	4.21	7.61	13.77	
2LLT	4.21	4.32	13.86	24.91 24.91	
2LRT	4.21 4.13	4.32	13.78 7.51	13.67	
2URT 3ULT	4.13	4.16	4.25	9.82	
3ULT	4.08	4.10	10.04	19.34	
3LRT	4.17	4.26	9.99	18.67	
3URT	4.07	4.16	4.01	9.38	
4ULT	4.08	4.16	4.23	9.80	
4LLT	4.17	4.27	10.01	19.30	
4LRT	4.17	4.28	10.25	20.34	
4URT	4.08	4.17	4.59	10.43	
5ULT	4.08	4.16	4.26	9.85	
5LLT	4.17	4.27	10.04	19.39	
5LRT	4.17	4.27	10.08	19.52	
5URT	4.08	4.16	4.30	9.92	
6ULT	4.08	4.16	4.24	9.80	
6LLT	4.17	4.27	10.06	19.33	
6LRT	4,17	4.27	10.05	19.49	
6URT	4.08	4.16	4.30	9.91	
410.7	1.07	Watershed K	2 77	8.92	
1ULT	4.07 4.07	4.15 4.16	3.77 4.10	9.54	
1URT 2ULT	4.14	4.18	7.77	13.92	
2ULT	4.12	4.24	7.06	16.71	
2LRT	4.12	4.25	7.69	17.77	
2URT	4.15	4.22	8.52	14.50	
3ULT	4.17	4.23	10.34	15.88	
3LLT	4.26	4.36	18.63	28.92	
3LRT	4.27	4.37	19.81	29.83	
3URT	4.18	4.24	10.99	16.40	
4ULT	4.17	4.23	10.35	15.89	
4MLT	4.28	4.38	20,85	32.09	
4LLT	4.31	4,43	24.02	37.24	
4LRT	4.33	4.43	25.43	38.38	
4MRT	4.30	4.39	22.08	33.03	
4URT	4.18	4,24	10.97	16,38	

		TABLE C.3-6 Terrace Bench Summar Pescadito Environmental Resou			
	Peak D	epth (ft)	Discharge Rate (cfs)		
Terrace Name	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storr	
		Watershed L			
1ULT	4.08	4.14	4.50	8.32	
1LLT 1LRT	4.33	4.43	25.75 25.89	37.65 38.90	
1URT	4,33	4.44	5.06	8.96	
2017	4.05	4.15	13.30	18.10	
2LLT	4.32	4.40	24.63	33.96	
2LRT	4.32	4.40	24.70	33.95	
2URT	4.21	4.26	13.40	18.18	
3ULT	4.17	4.24	10.71	16.18	
3LLT	4.29	4.38	21.08	31.94	
3LRT	4.28	4.38	20.83	31.74	
3URT 4ULT	4.17	4.24	10.58 10.59	16.07 16.08	
40L1 4LLT	4.17	4.38	20.83	31.74	
4LRT	4.28	4.38	20.68	31.64	
4URT	4.17	4.24	10.52	16.02	
5ULT	4.17	4.24	10.60	16.09	
5LLT	4.28	4.38	20.84	31.73	
5LRT	4,28	4.38	20.67	31.64	
5URT	4.17	4.24	10.51	16.02	
6ULT	4.17	4.24	10.61	16.10	
6LLT 6LRT	4.28	4.38	20.85	<u>31.73</u> 31.69	
6URT	4,28	4.24	10.54	16.04	
UUKI	Hear .	Watershed M	10:04	10.04	
1ULT	4.07	4.15	3.73	8.81	
1URT	4.06	4.14	3.49	8.33	
2ULT	4.14	4.21	7.84	13.99	
2LLT	4.12	4.24	7.06	16.71	
2LRT	4.12	4.24	6.73	16.06	
2URT	4.13	4,21	7.40	13.57	
3ULT	4.17	4,23	10.28	15.83	
3LLT 3LRT	4.26 4.26	4.36	18.47 18.09	28.75 28.50	
3URT	4.20	4.23	9.99	15.63	
4ULT	4.17	4.23	10.36	15.90	
4MLT	4.28	4.38	20.73	31.90	
4LLT	4.31	4.42	23.89	37.05	
4LRT	4.31	4.42	23.37	36.71	
4MRT	4.28	4.38	20.23	31.60	
4URT	4.17	4.23	10.02	15.65	
		Watershed N			
1017	3.14	3.62	1,27	1.37	
1LLT	4.23	4.27	15.78 15.09	19.78 18.91	
1LRT 1URT	3.14	3.62	15.09	1.37	
2ULT	4.12	4.20	6.72	12.94	
20L1 2LLT	4.12	4.31	12.20	23.27	
2LRT	4.19	4.30	11.84	22,88	
2URT	4,12	4.20	6.54	12.78	
3ULT	4.07	4.15	3.65	8.65	
3LLT	4.15	4.25	9.12	17.11	
3LRT	4.15	4.24	8,89	16.64	
3URT	4.06	4.14	3.54	8.41	
4ULT	4.07	4.15	3.68	8.71	
4LLT	4.15	4.25	9.12	17.19 16.63	
4LRT 4URT	4.15	4.24 4.14	8.95 3.52	8.39	
5ULT	4.06	4.14	3.52	8.68	
5LLT	4.07	4.15	9.09	17.14	
5LRT	4.15	4.24	9.00	16.67	
SURT	4.06	4.14	3.53	8.39	
6ULT	4.06	4.15	3.62	8.58	
6LLT	4.15	4.25	9.02	16.96	
6LRT	4.15	4.24	9.02	16.66	
6URT	4.06	4.14	3.52	8.39	

		TABLE C.3-6 Terrace Bench Summar	Y					
		Pescadito Environmental Resou						
Terrace Name	Peak D	Depth (ft)	Discharg	e Rate (cfs)				
	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storn				
Watershed O								
1ULT	4.06	4.13	3.19	7.68				
1URT	4.06	4.14	3.36	8.07				
2ULT	4.14	4.21	7.73	13.88				
2LLT	4.13	4.25	7.36	17.23				
2LRT	4.13	4.25	7.21	16.97				
2URT	4,13	4.21	7.54	13.70				
3ULT	4.16	4.23	9.61	15.32				
3LLT	4.25	4.36	17.85	28.58				
3LRT	4.26	4.35	17.89	28.41				
3URT	4.16	4.23	9.81	15.48				
4ULT	4.16	4.23	9.64	15.35				
4MLT	4.28	4.38	19.99	31.75				
4LLT	4.31	4.42	23.17	36.87				
4LRT	4.31	4.42	23.12	36.59				
4MRT	4.28	4.38	19.95	31.45				
4URT	4.16	4.23	9.83	15.49				
		Watershed P						
1ULT	4.08	4.14	4.39	8.17				
1LLT	4.32	4.42	24.85	36.30				
1LRT	4.33	4.44	25.37	38.42				
1URT	4.09	4.15	5.16	9.06				
2ULT	4.20	4.25	12.77	17.68				
2LLT	4.31	4.39	23.42	32.89				
2LRT	4.31	4.39	23.88	33.21				
2URT	4.20	4.26	13.05	17.90				
3ULT	4.15	4.22	8.94	14.83				
3LLT	4.25	4.36	17.68	29.18				
3LRT	4.25	4.36	17.80	29.30				
3URT	4.15	4.22	9.02	14.87				
4ULT	4,17	4.23	10.25	15.81				
4LLT	4.28	4.38	20.17	31.25				
4LRT	4.28	4.38	20.35	31,38				
4URT	4.17	4.23	10.36	15.89				
5ULT	4.16	4.23	9.65	15.35				
5LLT	4.27	4.37	19.03	30.29				
5LRT	4.27	4.37	19.07	30.32				
5URT	4.16	4.23	9.68	15.38				
6ULT	4.16	4.23	9.60	15.32				
6LLT	4.27	4.37	18.97	30.27				
6LRT	4.27	4.37	19.06	30.29				
6URT	4.16	4.23	9.68	15.38				

# **ATTACHMENT III-C**

## **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

7. DOWNCHUTES (III-C.3-7)



This document is released for the purpose of permitting only under the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650.





Page:1of1Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate:4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate:4/14/15

#### TITLE: DOWNCHUTES

#### Problem Statement

Determine whether the proposed downchutes are sized to handle the peak flow velocities and depths anticipated for the 100-year, 24-hour storm event, which produces the highest peak discharge rate of all modeled storm events.

#### Given

- The locations of the downchutes are shown in the Drawing 6 of Appendix III-C.2.
- The details of the downchutes are provided in Drawing 7 of Appendix III-C.2.

#### Assumptions

- Downchutes have a maximum slope of 25%, minimum slope of 2%, a width of 15 feet, and a depth of 3 feet.
- Downchutes will be lined with riprap.
- A Manning's coefficient of 0.035, representative of a typical riprap open channel, is used for both critical velocity and depth determination.

#### Results

The peak velocities, depths, and discharge rates for each downchute for the 25-year, 24-hour storm and the 100-year, 24-hour storm were determined using HydroCAD. The results are presented in Table C.3-7. Please note that the results presented in Table C.3-7 represent the peak velocities, depths, and discharge rates at the bottom of each downchute for both the 2% and 25% slopes (e.g. the results for the Watershed A downchute are determined from the "4DC" node in the Watershed A HydroCAD model). Please see Appendix III-C.4 for the associated catchment HydroCAD output files.

The peak velocity of all downchutes is greater than 5 ft/sec for the 100-year, 24-hour storm event using a Manning's coefficient of 0.035. However, due to the fact that the lining material is riprap, scour and erosion are not anticipated. Based on the 100-year, 24-hour peak depths determined in the modeled downchutes using a Manning's coefficient of 0.035, overtopping will not occur for storm events equal to or less than the 100-year storm event.


			TABLE C Downchute S				
		Pes	cadito Environment	al Resource Center			
		Peak D	epth (ft)	Discharge Rate (cfs)		Peak Velo	city (ft/sec)
Downchute Name	Slope	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm	25-year, 24-hour Storm	100-γear, 24-hour Storr
	2%	0.86	1.07	74.82	109.14	4.92	5.58
A	25%	0.45	0.57	74.63	109.00	10.04	11.49
В	2%	1.31	1.58	154.51	218.11	6.25	6.97
	25%	0.63	0.77	154.42	217.89	14.46	16.29
	2%	0.82	1.03	68.66	101.94	4.78	5.46
c –	25%	0.43	0.55	68.56	101.84	9.73	11.22
D	2%	1.13	1.35	118.75	163.86	5.74	6.37
D	25%	0.54	0.65	118.74	163.64	13.18	14.76
	2%	0.81	1.02	67.08	99.85	4.74	5.42
E	25%	0.43	0.54	67.00	99.44	9.65	11.13
_	2%	1.25	1.51	142.01	199.53	6.08	6.78
F -	25%	0.60	0.73	142.01	199.49	14.04	15.81
	2%	0.79	0.99	63.92	94.88	4.67	5.33
G	25%	0.39	0.49	63.83	94.50	10.04	11.57
	2%	1.13	1.35	120.05	163.14	5.76	6.36
"	25%	0.55	0.65	120.02	163.03	13.23	14.74
	2%	0.95	1.34	88.25	160.68	5.20	6.33
1	25%	0.50	0.71	87.99	160.52	10.65	13.17
_	2%	1.16	1.65	125.27	234.83	5.84	7.13
	25%	0.56	0.81	125.25	234.76	13.43	16.72
	2%	0.98	1.37	92.94	169.24	5.30	6.44
к	25%	0.52	0.73	92.78	168.94	10.85	13.40
	2%	1.72	2.10	253.89	363.91	7.30	8.15
	25%	0.84	1.04	253.56	363.81	17.15	19.36
	2%	0.95	1.34	88.95	160.90	5.22	6.33
M	25%	0.50	0.71	88.58	160.21	10.68	13.16
	2%	1.10	1.55	114.13	209.81	5.67	6.89
N	25%	0.53	0.76	114.03	209.68	12.99	16.08
	2%	0.94	1.33	87.17	159.44	5.18	6.32
0	25%	0.50	0.71	86.81	158.93	10.60	13.12
	2%	1.66	2.05	236.60	349.78	7.15	8.05
P -	25%	0.81	1.01	236.48	349.50	16.75	19.11

**ATTACHMENT III-C** 

# **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

8. DITCH SIZING (III-C.3-8)



This document is released for the purpose of permitting only under the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650.



Page: 1 of 2



Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate:4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate:4/14/15

#### TITLE: DITCH SIZING

#### **Problem Statement**

Determine whether the proposed stormwater ditches are sized to handle the peak flow velocities and depths associated with the 100-year, 24-hour storm event.

### **Design Assumptions**

- The ditches will be designed to convey run-off from the 100-year, 24-hour storm event without overtopping. The 100-year, 24-hour storm event is selected because it produces the highest peak discharge rates of all modeled storm events.
- The HydroCAD Model layout for the stormwater ditches is shown on the "Pescadito Perimeter" HydroCAD Model Diagram in Appendix III-C.4.
- The locations of the stormwater ditches are shown in Drawing 6 of Appendix III-C.2.
- The stormwater ditches will be vegetated earthen open channels.
- A Manning's coefficient of 0.030, representative of a typical grassed, earthen, open channel was selected for all ditches. This value is used to calculate the critical velocity and depth within the ditches.
- □ North Unit perimeter ditches have sideslopes of 4H:1V on the inside and outside slopes. South Unit perimeter ditches have 4H:1V inside slopes and 3H:1V outside slopes.
- □ North Unit perimeter ditches are 4 feet deep and have bottom widths of 15 feet. South Unit perimeter ditches are 4 feet deep and have bottom widths of 40 feet.

#### Calculations

Calculations were performed using the computer program, HydroCAD. The program uses Manning's equation.

$$V = (1.49/n)R^{2/3}S^{1/2}$$

where:

- V = mean velocity, ft/sec
- n = Manning's roughness coefficient
- R = hydraulic radius, ft
- S = slope, ft/ft



Page: 2 of 2Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate: 4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate: 4/14/15

#### TITLE: DITCH SIZING

Manning's n, peak flow, sideslope, and channel slope were entered into the program and the program calculates depth and velocity.

Table C.3-8 lists the length and slope of each ditch segment in the HydroCAD model. Table C.3-8 also lists the peak depth and peak velocity in each ditch segment for the 25-year, 24-hour storm and the 100-year, 24-hour storm. Please refer to Appendix III-C.4 for the associated HydroCAD output files.

#### Conclusions

Based on the results presented in Table C.3-8, the critical findings are noted:

- 1. The peak velocities of the vegetated stormwater ditches is lower than 5 ft/sec in all areas of the ditch during the 25-year, 24-hour storm event.
- 2. The peak depths for all channels are less than the design depth for the 100-year and 25-year, 24-hour storm events. As a result, stormwater ditches will not overtop.


			Pescadito En	TABLE C 3-8 Peak Depth and Velocit wironmental Resource	Center		
erimeter Ditch			25-year, 24-		100-year, 24		Turf Reinforcement Ma
Identification	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Peak Velocity (ft/sec)	Peak Depth (ft)	Peak Velocity (It/sec)	Peak Depth (ft)	(TRM) Needed?
NDE01	432.7	0.0030	3.93	2.48	4.32	2.95	No
NDE02	428.5	0.0030	3.95	2.50	4.35	2,97	No
NDE03	370.9	0,0030	3.96	2.52	4.36	3.00	No
NDE04 NDE05	287.4	0.0030	3.96	2.53	4.37	3.02	No
NDE05	286.4	0.0030	4.27	2,88	4.70	3.42	No
NDE07	285.3	0.0030	4.28	2.88	4.71	3.44	No
NDE08	373.6	0.0030	4,28	2,90	4.71	3.45	No
NDE09	426.3	0.0030	4.29	2.91	4.72	3.46	No
NDE10	474.2	0.0030	4.30	2.93	4,72	3.47	No
NDNE01	346.6	0,0030	3,50	2.01	3.87	2,41	No
NDNE02 NDNE03	343.9 378.5	0.0030	3.54 3.59	2.05	3.92 3.97	2.47	No
NDNE04	439.0	0.0030	3.62	2,13	4.00	2.56	No
NDNE05	443.2	0.0030	3.92	2.47	4.31	2.93	No
NDNW01	340.7	0.0046	1,92	0.48	2,09	0,56	No
NDNW02	342.4	0.0046	2.41	0.71	2.62	0.82	No
NDNW03	391.3	0.0046	2.74	0.89	2.98	1.03	No
NDNW04	465.7	0,0046	2.98	1.03	3,24	1,19	No
NDNW05	473.9	0,0046	3.88	1.65	4.24	1.93	No
NDSE01	316.3	0.0030	1.69	0.56	1.84	0.64	No
NDSE02 NDSE03	316.0 367.3	0.0030	2.10	0.81	2.29	0.94	No
NDSE03	426.3	0.0030	2.60	1,18	2,59	1.36	NO
NDSE05	474,2	0.0030	2,72	1.27	2,94	1.47	No
NDSW01	316.1	0.0042	3.86	1.77	4.28	2.13	No
NDSW02	319,3	0.0042	3.92	1,82	4,35	2.20	No
NDSW03	365.0	0.0042	3.97	1.87	4.42	2.27	No
NDSW04	410.6	0.0042	4.02	1.91	4.47	2.32	No
NDSW05	468.0	0,0042	4.06	1,93	4,51	2,34	No
NDW01	460.4	0.0030	3.38	1.89	3,70	2.22	No
NDW02 NDW03	452.4 290.0	0.0030	3.44 3.48	1,94 1,99	3.77	2.29	No
NDW04	290.0	0.0030	3.51	2.02	3.85	2.35	NO
NDW05	291.8	0.0030	4.03	2.58	4.39	3.02	No
NDW06	288.6	0.0030	4.05	2,60	4.41	3.05	No
NDW07	290.1	0.0030	4.06	2.63	4,42	3.08	No
NDW08	367,6	0.0030	4.08	2,66	4,44	3.11	No
NDW09	408.5	0.0030	4.11	2.68	4.47	3,13	No
NDW10	465.6	0.0030	4,12	2,70	4_48	3,15	No
EMC WMC	517.4 185.0	0.0030	4.23	2.35	4.63 4.93	2.76	No. No
SDE01	428.5	0.0030	4.75	2.80	5.29	3,39	No
SDE02	438,9	0.0030	4.76	2.81	5.30	3,40	No
SDE03	363.3	0.0030	4.76	2.82	5.31	3.42	No
SDE04	386.2	0.0030	4,77	2,83	5,32	3.43	No
SDE05	387.7	0.0030	4.91	2.98	5.50	3.65	No
SDE06	385.4	0.0030	4,92	2.98	5.52	3,65	No
SDE07	386.2	0.0030	4.92	2.99	5.52	3.66	No
SDE08 SDE09	379.0 430.4	0.0030	4.93	3.00 3.01	5.53	3.67	No.
SDE09 SDE10	430.4	0.0030	4,93 4,93	3.01	5,53	3,68 3,68	No No
SDNE01	297.6	0.0030	2.98	1.28	3.56	1.72	No
5DNE02	296.5	0.0030	3.02	1.31	3.61	1.76	No
SDNE03	393.6	0.0030	3.08	1.34	3.65	1.79	No
SDNE04	445,0	0,0030	3,12	1.38	3,68	1.82	No
SDNE05	443.2	0.0030	3.43	1.61	4.02	2.11	No
SDNW01	295.8	0.0035	1.95	0.57	2.13	0.65	No
SDNW02 SDNW03	297.1	0,0035	2.00	0.60	2,19	0.69	No
SDNW03 SDNW04	381.5 445.5	0.0035	2.13 2.27	0.65	2.51	0.76	No No
SDNW05	445.5	0.0035	3.00	1.14	3.55	1.50	No
SDSE01	282.6	0.0034	3.52	1.81	4.12	2.41	No
SDSE02	280.1	0,0034	1.76	0.54	1,91	0.62	No
SDSE03	383.9	0.0030	1.85	0.65	2.01	0.75	No
SDSE04	430,5	0.0030	2,26	0.92	2.46	1,06	No
SDSE05	478,3	0.0030	2.44	1.05	2.65	1.22	No
SDSW01	279.9	0.0034	3.58	1.87	4.17	2.47	No
SDSW02	280.2	0,0034	3.64	1.93	4,22	2.53	No
SDSW03 SDSW04	377.2 431.0	0.0034	3.70	3.17	4.28	2.59	No
SDSW04 SDSW05	431.0	0.0024	4,54	3.16	5.04	3.81	No
SDW01	434.6	0.0024	4.31	2.89	4.78	3.46	No
SDW02	434.0	0.0024	4.32	2.89	4.79	3.47	No
SDW03	373.6	0.0024	4.33	2.89	4,80	3.48	No
SDW04	374,0	0.0024	4.33	2,90	4.81	3.49	No
SDW05	378.1	0.0024	4.46	3.06	4,98	3.71	No
SDW06	370.1	0.0024	4,47	3.07	4.99	3.72	No
SDW07	374.6	0.0024	4.48	3.08	4,99	3,73	No
SDW08	373.1	0.0024	4.49	3.08	5.00	3.73	No
SDW09	443.4 489.9	0.0024	4.48	3.10 3.15	4.99	3.75 3.80	No No

# ATTACHMENT III-C

# **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

9. CULVERT SIZING (III-C.3-9)



purpose of permitting only under the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650.



Page: 1 of 2



Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate: 4/14/2015Checked By:RDSDate: 4/15/2015

#### TITLE: CULVERT SIZING

#### **Problem Statement**

Determine whether the proposed stormwater culverts are sized to handle the peak flow velocities and depths associated with the 100-year, 24-hour storm event.

#### **Design Assumptions**

- The culverts will be designed to convey run-off from the 100-year, 24-hour storm event without restricting upland flow. The 100-year, 24-hour storm event is selected because it produces the highest peak discharge rates of all modeled storm events.
- The locations of the culverts between the perimeter ditches and the south detention basin are shown on Drawing 6 of Appendix III-C.2. Details of the perimeter ditch culverts are provided in Drawing 8 of Appendix III-C.2.
- The design parameters of each culvert are included in Table C.3-9, including culvert type and material, inlet invert elevation, outlet invert elevation, slope, and dimensions.

#### Calculations

Calculations were performed using the computer program HydroCAD. The program uses Manning's equation.

$$V = (1.49/n)R^{2/3}S^{1/2}$$

where:

 -		
V	=	mean velocity, ft/sec
n	=	Manning's roughness coefficient
R	÷	hydraulic radius, ft
S	=	slope, ft/ft

Manning's n, peak flow, sideslope, and channel slope were entered into the program and the program calculates depth and velocity.

Table C.3-9 summarizes the design of the culverts and provides the peak depths and flow velocities for the 25-year, 24-hour storm and the 100-year, 24-hour storm. Please see Appendix III-C.4 for the associated HydroCAD output files.



Page: 2 of 2Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate: 4/14/2015Checked By:RDSDate: 4/15/2015

TITLE: CULVERT SIZING

#### Conclusions

A summary of the key design features, including the modeled peak discharge velocities and depths within the culverts, are shown in Table C.3-9. Based on the results, all proposed design dimensions for the culverts/structures are appropriately sized to convey the required discharge rates for the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. Erosion control materials will be placed at the outlets of all culverts that exhibit a peak discharge velocity greater than 5 ft/sec.

						TABLE C.3-9 Culvert Depth and Velocity Summary Pescadito Environmental Resource Center	TABLE C 3-9 Culvert Depth and Velocity Summary scadito Environmental Resource Cent	nmary e Center						
				De	Design Parameters						25-year, 24-hour Storm	ur Storm	100-year, 24-hour Storm	iur Storm
Culvert Identification	Culvert Design	Number of Culverts	Culvert Material	Manning's Coefficient	Inlet Invert Elevation	Outlet Invert Elevation	Height	Width	Length	Slope	Peak Velocity	Peak Depth	Peak Velocity	Peak Depth
	(Box or Circular) {per location)	(per location)	(description)	(unitless)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft)	( <del>1</del> 1)	(#)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(tj)	(ft/sec)	(ty)
NUWOC	Вох	1	Concrete	0.012	552.2	552.0	4.00	15.0	67.0	0.003	11.9	2.82	13.2	3.47
NUEOC	Box	1	Concrete	0,012	554.5	554.3	4.00	15.0	67.0	0.003	10.7	2,69	11.7	3.25
SUWIC	Box	2	Concrete	0.012	551.5	551.3	4.00	15.0	63.0	0.003	8.9	1.89	6.6	2.31
SUEIC	Вох	2	Concrete	0.012	552.7	552.5	4.00	15.0	63,0	0,003	8,4	1.70	9.3	2.04
SBWIC	Вох	5	Concrete	0.012	539.8	539.6	3.00	10.0	63.0	0.002	7.2	2.04	8.0	2.56
SBWIC2	Вох	2	Concrete	0.012	539.8	539,6	3.00	10.0	67.0	0.002	5.9	1.44	7.0	2.02
SBEIC	Box	S	Concrete	0.012	541.0	540.9	3.00	10.0	63.0	0.002	7.4	2.13	8.2	2.73

Culvert Names	North Unit West Outlet Culvert	North Unit East Outlet Culvert	South Unit West Inlet Culvert	South Unit East Inlet Culvert	South Basin West Inlet Culvert	South Basin West Inlet Culvert 2	South Basin East Inlet Culvert
	NUWOC:	NUEOC:	suwic:	SUEIC:	SBWIC:	SBWIC2:	SRFIC-


1 1 1 1

# ATTACHMENT III-C

# **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

10. DETENTION BASIN SIZING (III-C.3-10)



This document is released for the purpose of permitting only under the authority of Michael W. Oden, P.E. #67165. It is not to be used for bidding or construction. Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-5650.





Page: 1 of 2Client:Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLCProject:Pescadito Environmental Resource CenterProject #:148866Calculated By:MTEDate: 4/13/15Checked By:RDSDate: 4/15/15

### TITLE: DETENTION BASIN SIZING

### **Problem Statement**

Determine whether the detention basin that detains stormwater for the proposed PERC is adequately sized. The basin shall be considered to be adequately sized if the following conditions are met, based on best management practices:


- 1. The release rate from the detention basin for the 100-year, 24-hour storm results in an overall site discharge that is substantially similar to the overall discharge calculated in the CLOMR.
- 2. One foot of freeboard exists between the 100-year, 24-hour storm event peak elevation and the crest elevation of the detention basin.

### Given

- □ Mannings Coefficient HydroCAD default value of 0.012 for concrete culverts
- □ The south detention basin will have two discharge points, located approximately at the southwest and southeast corners of the basin. The discharge point at the southwest end of the detention basin will consist of 4 24" x 48" box culverts at invert elevation 536.5 ft NGVD. The discharge point at the southeast end of the detention basin will consist of 10 24" x 48" box culverts at invert elevation 533 ft NGVD. The culvert discharge areas will be reinforced with rip-rap or an erosion control alternative to prevent erosion and scour. The basin outlet design may be changed at the owner/operator's discretion, as long as the new design is equivalent.
- □ The size, outlet structures, and model results for the proposed stormwater detention basin is provided in Table C.3-10. Design values were calculated using AutoCAD Civil 3D 2014.
- Drawings 5 and 6 of Appendix III-C.2 show the location of the south detention basin.

### Calculations

HydroCAD was used to model the peak storage volume of the detention basin. The storage volume considers both the inflow (which generally includes stormwater collection from the landfill and surrounding area), elevation-storage relationships of the detention basin, and outflow from the basin discharge structures.



AutoCAD Civil 3D 2014 was used to determine the design dimensions and volumes for the detention basin. Please refer to Appendix III-C.4 for the HydroCAD output files.

### Results

Based on the HydroCAD model for the Pescadito Environmental Resource Center, the proposed detention basin is adequately sized. Table C.3-10 summarizes the results of the HydroCAD calculations. The discharge rate comparison (Criteria #1 above) is discussed in Appendix III-C.1.

TABLE C.3-10 Detention Basin Design Summary								
	Detention Basin Desig Pescadito Environmental							
eneral	Capture Area	acres	809.84					
asin Ge iign	Basin Sideslopes	H:V	4:1					
Detention Basin General Design	Normal Water Level	ft MSL	533					
Deten	Crest Elevation ft MSL		540.8					
	Culvert Height	in	24					
es	Culvert Width	in	48					
Outlet Structures (Southwest)	Number of Outlet Culverts	Quantity	10					
utlet Si (Sout}	Outlet Structure Elevation	ft MSL	533					
õ	Maximum Discharge Rate 25-year, 24-hour Storm	cfs	614.13					
	Maximum Discharge Rate 100-year, 24-hour Storm	cfs	717.41					
	Culvert Height in		24					
es	Culvert Width	in	48					
et Structures outheast)	Number of Outlet Culverts	Quantity	4					
ıtlet St (Soutl	Outlet Structure Elevation	ft MSL	536.5					
Outl (S	Maximum Discharge Rate 25-year, 24-hour Storm	cfs	27.42					
	Maximum Discharge Rate 100-year, 24-hour Storm	cfs	104.59					
lts	Maximum Discharge Rate 25-year, 24-hour Storm	cfs	641.55					
Modeling Results	Maximum Discharge Rate 100-year, 24-hour Storm	cfs	822.00					
odeling	Peak Water Elevation 25-year, 24-hour Storm	ft MSL	537.29					
Ň	Peak Water Elevation 100-year, 24-hour Storm	ft MSL	538.47					


# ATTACHMENT III-C


# **APPENDIX III-C.3**

# **FACILITY SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE ANALYSIS**

#### ATTACHMENT A - FACILITY STORMWATER FEATURE DELINEATION FIGURE







#### LEGEND

PERMIT BOUNDARY

SUBCATCHMENT BOUNDARY

UPPER TERRACE SUBCATCHMENT (UL/UR)

MIDDLE TERRACE SUBCATCHMENT (ML/MR)

LOWER TERRACE SUBCATCHMENT (LL/LR)

CLOMR 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN

OTHER SUBCATCHMENT AREAS

#### NOTES

- EXISTING CONTOURS DEVELOPED FROM SITE AERIAL TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY BY DALLAS AERIAL SURVEYS ON FEBRUARY 15, 2010 (MODIFIED TO INCLUDE CLOMR IMPROVEMENTS).
- 2. BOUNDARY AND IMPROVEMENT SURVEY DEVELOPED BY MEJIA ENGINEERING COMPANY ON AUGUST 15, 2011 AND JUNE 9, 2014.
- 3. FOR CLARITY, NOT ALL SITE FEATURES MAY BE SHOWN
- 4. THE NEED FOR FLEXIBILITY TO ACCOMMODATE ADJUSTMENTS AND MODIFICATIONS IS ANTICIPATED CONSIDERING THE SIZE, COMPLEXITY, AND LIFE OF THE PROJECT.
- SUBCATCHMENT AREAS AT THE LOWEST PORTION OF EACH WATERSHED (A-P) INCLUDES THE PERIMETER CHANNEL AND ROAD,

		_	
NTAL RESOURCE CENTER	PROJ NO.:	148866	DATE: APRIL 2015
NTY, TEXAS 2374	DESIGNED BY:	1	DRAWING NO.
2314	DRAWN BY:	MTE	
ENT III-C.3-A R FEATURE DELINEATION	CHECKED BY:	RDS	C.3-A
FEATURE DELINEATION	APPROVED BY	мwō	1 OF 1 SHEETS