






Rancho Viejo	Feature	Pond-1	Photo #: 61
	Description	Wetland – Soil	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Location	С9-1	-



















Rancho Viejo	Feature	Pond-2	Photo #: 66
Rancho Viejo Date: 8/8/2012	Feature Description Location	Pond-2 Wetland – Tank B1-1	Photo #: 66 Direction: Northeast




















































	And and the second second	the first and some	and the second second
the second second	and the second states of the second	and the second second second second	Man States
1 1 2 all	T P C C	A CONTRACT AND A SALES	The second section of
and the	and an annual state	and the second second second second	
			and the second second
		The second se	and the second
1.11 × 1.11			
4-0		A ALAS AN A CONTRACT	
		San and a state of the second	
	3 V A 7 -	and the second second	
1	Star is the starting	T	
	and the second second		
	Con Rin		and the second second
2.	1 mil	ALL NATE	Han Kit
the second s	- AN CONTRACTOR	Carlos Carlos Carlo	A DE CONTRACTOR
D 1 17: ·	Feature	Pond-2	Photo #: 80
Rancho 1/1010			
Rancho Viejo	Description	Wetland – Tank	Direction:






and the second second	Common Alter State State Some	attend to the should be a store	
	and the second second	and a second	NEW CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR O
A second second	And the first shares		and a stand of the stand
	in provide	and the production in the	the start and start
ala	and an and the		State Ville
	sheet a next light		
			a the state of the
The second second	SELER Long	What Either	
	and the state of		AS ANTA CA
And a second	the total	推荐了 AIK IF A 34	
	A STATE AND A STATE A		
	The second second		Internet and the second s
	1 Jun alt		
	A SHE AND		
	Alexander		
Rancho Viejo	Feature	Pond-2	Photo #: 82
Rancho Viejo	Feature Description	Pond-2 Wetland – Tank	Photo #: 82 Direction:





	ether and a state	






144	Pro Marine		en e
			a the
D. m. d. a. LZinia	Easture	Pond-2	Photo #: 86
Rancho Viejo	Feature Description	Wetland – Away from tank	Direction:
Date: 8/22/2012	Location	B51-1	North





	A him	
		The second second
MAY -		










Rancho Viejo	Feature	Pond-2	Photo #: 90
5	Description	Soil	Direction:
Date: 8/22/2012	Location	B52-1	-












A2-2


Location


Date: 8/7/2012




Southwest


























Rancho Viejo	Feature Description	Pond-3 Wetland – Tank	Photo #: 101 Direction:






enver			The second s
	the part of the	NO SAL DUSTIN	and the second se
	14 - 9 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10		Contraction of the
the second s			a second s
State - restate		the second se	and the second
a set and set	and and all a	and the second states	
			and the second second
and the second sec	the state of the s	and the second s	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER
		and the second	and the second second
	Strate and the state		
		and the state of the state	and the first
a state of	and the state of		A ALL AND A
			A CONTRACTOR
	and the second second	THE ALL PROPERTY AND	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER
			A - Contraction
The second	A PARA COLOR		A ARCARA
1 Stall Walter	to desire states		
and the second	Catholic Color		
The seal of the	and the state	and the second second second second	
Rancho Viejo	Feature	Pond-3	Photo #: 103
	Description	Wetland – Away from tank	Direction:
	Location		






and the second	Start - The President		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
and showing		Min Wester	
	the second second	and the second	And the second
	and the second sec		
An all			
PARTIE -	11 52.0		C. States
The second s	and the second second		
At the	and the second	The second second second	









[This page intentionally left blank]



Appendix C: Approved Jurisdictional Determination Forms



[This page intentionally left blank]

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): Α.

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWF, Rancho Viejo, 2011-00398. В.

Relevant Reach: Rancho Viejo, Downstream Study Area, E-1 and POND-1

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: Webb State: Texas City: Laredo Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 27.553811° N, Long. -99.165357° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 14

Name of nearest waterbody: Burrito Tank

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: *Rio Grande River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 13080003

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: *
- Field Determination. Date(s): Multiple*

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are and are not "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: * linear feet: 8.3 width (ft) and/or * acres. Wetlands: 13 acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):Varies.

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ 2.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: DS-2, DS-4, DS-5. No apparent OHWM.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: N/A.

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": N/A.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 1,803,212.09acres Drainage area: 14,731.13 acres Average annual rainfall: 19 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ☐ Tributary flows through 4 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 10-15 river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 20-25 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **5-10** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No, the project waters do not cross or serve as a state

boundary.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The project waters generally flow in a south to southwest direction.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known:

.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Image: Artificial (man-made). Explain: Image: Artificial (man-made). Explain: Image: Artificial (man-made). Explain: Image: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Image: Artificial (man-made). Explain:					
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 8.3 feet Average depth: 0.0 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.					
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):					
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Natural. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: N/A. Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %					
(c)	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: <u>Pick List</u> Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: <u>Pick List</u> Describe flow regime: None observed after 100+ year event. Other information on duration and volume: No flow observed after 100+ year storm event.					
	Surface flow is: Discrete. Characteristics:					
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .					
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:					
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):					
ii) Ch	emical Characteristics:					

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Ponded water in low lying areas brown, cloudy. Identify specific pollutants, if known: None known.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u>
 Properties:
 Wetland size: 13 acres
 Wetland type. Explain: Emergent.
 Wetland quality. Explain: Poor/Moderate.
 Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.
- (b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: **No Flow**. Explain: None observed.

Surface flow is: Not present Characteristics: No flow from POND-1 observed.

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: Not anticipated. Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: impoundment structure separates POND-1 from E-1.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **30 (or more)** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **25-30** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **No Flow.** Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **100 - 500-year** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: brown translucent color. Identify specific pollutants, if known: none known.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: seasonal habitat for wildlife.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **1** Approximately (13) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
No	13	No	13

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: See attached Proposed JD Report (aci consulting 2013).

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Downstream of POND-1, E-1 contains OHWM (indication of hydrologic connection), but little to speculative contribution to the chemical and biological integrity of TNW (Rio Grande) due to the more than 45 river miles of tributary between the Relavent Reach and the TNW.
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. <u>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

.

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters:

Identify type(s) of waters:

3. <u>Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet **8.3** width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: **13** acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: Ephemeral Tributary (E-1) and emergent wetland/open water complex (POND-1).

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 13 acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
 - from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
 - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres. F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:several contributing Drainage Swales (DS) without OHWM: DS-2, DS-4, DS-5. No apparent hydrologic connection. Other: (explain, if not covered above): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 7,242.57 linear feet, N/A width (ft). \boxtimes \boxtimes Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: . \square Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: $\overline{\boxtimes}$ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: . USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SCS. 1985. Soil Survey of Webb County, Texas. USDA, Texas Agricultural Extension Office. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: GIS Data. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Various. or 🛛 Other (Name & Date): Historical Topographic Maps. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

Other factors. Explain:

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Supporting documentation, discussions, figures and photographs included in attached Delineation and Proposed Jurisdictional Determination of Waters of the US for Rancho Viejo Project (aci consulting 2013).

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): Α.

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWF, Rancho Viejo, 2011-00398, В.

Relevant Reach: Rancho Viejo, DS-14 and POND-2 (Burrito Tank)

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: Webb State: Texas City: Laredo Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 27.553811° N, Long. -99.165357° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 14

Name of nearest waterbody: Burrito Tank

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: *Rio Grande River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 13080003

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: *
- Field Determination. Date(s): Multiple*

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 3500 linear feet: * width (ft) and/or * acres. Wetlands: 10.7 acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Possible 533 feet above MSL.

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ 2.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: DS-11, DS-12, DS-13. No apparent hydrologic connection.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: N/A.

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": N/A.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 1,803,212.09acres Drainage area: 7,000 acres Average annual rainfall: 19 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ☑ Tributary flows through 7 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 70-15 river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No, the project waters do not cross or serve as a state

boundary.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The project waters generally flow in a south to southwest direction.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known:

.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: . 					
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 0 feet Average depth: 0.0 feet Average side slopes: 4:1 (or greater).					
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):					
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Flat. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: None. Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): less than 1 %					
(c) overland shee	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: None observed after 100+ year event. Other information on duration and volume: No flow observed after 100+ year storm event. Less than seasonal flow. tflow at best.					
	Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow. Characteristics: no flow observed.					
	Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings: None unknown. Dye (or other) test performed: none.					
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: 3,500 linear feet with no contiguous OHWM.					
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Image: Characteristics oil or scum line along shore objects Image: Characteristics fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Image: Characteristics physical markings/characteristics Image: Characteristics tidal gauges other (list):					
	mical Characteristics:					

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Ponded water in low lying areas brown, cloudy.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: None known.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u>
 Properties:
 Wetland size: 10.7 acres
 Wetland type. Explain: Emergent.
 Wetland quality. Explain: Poor/Moderate.
 Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.
- (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **No Flow**. Explain: None observed from POND-2 after 105+ year storm event. See attached Proposed JD Report for discussion (aci consulting 2013).

Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow

Characteristics: No flow from POND-2 observed.

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: Not anticipated. Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: impoundment structure separates POND-2 from DS-14.
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **30 (or more)** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **25-30** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **No Flow**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **100 - 500-year** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: brown translucent color. Identify specific pollutants, if known: none known.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: seasonal habitat for wildlife.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **1** Approximately (10.7) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
No	10.7	No	10.7

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: See attached Proposed JD Report (aci consulting 2013).

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Downstream of POND-1, E-1 contains OHWM (indication of hydrologic connection), but little to speculative contribution to the chemical and biological integrity of TNW (Rio Grande) due to the more than 45 river miles of tributary between the Relavent Reach and the TNW.
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. <u>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

.

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters:

Identify type(s) of waters:

3. <u>Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
 - from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
 - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Other factors. Explain: Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres. F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: See attached report (aci consulting 2013). Other: (explain, if not covered above): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 3, 500 linear feet 0.0 width (ft). Lakes/ponds: 32.7 acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 10.7 acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 3,500.00 linear feet, N/A width (ft). \boxtimes \boxtimes Lakes/ponds: 32.7 acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 10.7 acres. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: . \square Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: $\overline{\boxtimes}$ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: . USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SCS. 1985. Soil Survey of Webb County, Texas. USDA, Texas Agricultural Extension Office. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: GIS Data. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: 🖾 Aerial (Name & Date): Various. or 🛛 Other (Name & Date): Historical Topographic Maps. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:

- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Supporting documentation, discussions, figures and photographs included in attached Delineation and Proposed Jurisdictional Determination of Waters of the US for Rancho Viejo Project (aci consulting 2013).



Appendix D: POND-1 Wetland Datasheets



[This page intentionally left blank]

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

es NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
/es No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>No</u>
n gauge, monitoring v	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical	for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
Likedramie die Manadatien Deservet	Na		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indicate	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Status</u>	- Number of Dominant Species
1		_ That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC=). (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		OBL species x 1 =
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		 data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		-
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes No
Remarks:		<u> </u>

SOIL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth r	eeded to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of in	dicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		·	
		<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	duced Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Location	: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRI			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (XY) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		e Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		e (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced Ve	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	w Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	Other (Expl	ain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H) High Plains Depressions (F16)	³ Indicators of hy	drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)	wetland hyd	rology must be present,
		unless distu	rbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; ch	neck all that apply)	Secondary Inc	dicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Surface S	Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)		Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		n Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		itral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

es NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):		
/es No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>No</u>
n gauge, monitoring v	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cr	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical	for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain ir	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
Likedramite the Manager Descent O	N		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indicato	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Status</u>	- Number of Dominant Species
1		_ That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC=). (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		OBL species x 1 =
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		 data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		-
2		_ Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes No
Remarks:		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

9)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D	7) (LRR F)
Yes No	Depth (inches):		
Yes No	Depth (inches):		
Yes No	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	No
am gauge, monitorin	g well, aerial photos, previous	inspections), if available:	
	Yes No Yes No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches):	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes am gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:



Appendix E: POND-2 Wetland Datasheets



[This page intentionally left blank]

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	



Appendix F: Additional Wetland Datasheets



[This page intentionally left blank]

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classi	fication:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology _	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology _	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any answ	vers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transec	ts, important features, etc.
Linderschutz Massachten Dessauto			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		OBL species x 1 =
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is 3.0 ¹
8 9		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes No
Remarks:		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cr	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical	for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain ir	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
Likedramite the Manager Descent O	N		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indicato	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Status</u>	- Number of Dominant Species
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC=) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		OBL species x 1 =
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		 data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		-
2		_ Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes No
Remarks:		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

9)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D	7) (LRR F)
Yes No	Depth (inches):		
Yes No	Depth (inches):		
Yes No	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	No
am gauge, monitorin	g well, aerial photos, previous	inspections), if available:	
	Yes No Yes No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches):	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes am gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ I	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
	NI-		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indica	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Statu</u>	
1		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC-) (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		— Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		
2		Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:		
incinaino.		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummoo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

		1	
res NO	Depth (inches):		
res No	Depth (inches):		
res No	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	res No
n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
	/es No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): In gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspective	

Project/Site:	City/County:		Sampling Date:
Applicant/Owner:		State:	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s):	Section, Town	ship, Range:	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cr	oncave, convex, none):	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR):	Lat:	Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI class	sification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical	for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain ir	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.
Likedramite the Manager Descent O	N		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No		163	
Remarks:					

	Absolute Dominant Indicato	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover Species? Status</u>	- Number of Dominant Species
1		_ That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): (A)
2		(excluding FAC=). (A)
3		Total Number of Dominant
4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
	= Total Cover	Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1		Prevalence Index worksheet:
2		
3		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4		OBL species x 1 =
5		FACW species x 2 =
	= Total Cover	FAC species x 3 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		FACU species x 4 =
1		UPL species x 5 =
2		Column Totals: (A) (B)
3		
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
7		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
8		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9		 data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
10		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		-
2		_ Hydrophytic Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum	= Total Cover	Present? Yes No
Remarks:		

SOL			
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or confirm	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features		
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
		<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand G	rains. ² Locatio	n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR			Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		(A9) (LRR I, J)
Histocol (X1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	Sandy Redox (S5)		rie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		ce (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced V	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Depressions (F8)	\ \	lain in Remarks)
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G, H	 High Plains Depressions (F16) 		drophytic vegetation and
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)		drology must be present,
		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:	_		
Depth (inches):	_	Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No
Remarks:			
IYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; c	heck all that apply)		ndicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage	e Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) (where	e tilled)
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish	Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		phic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		utral Test (D5)

 Frost-Heave	Hummo	cks (D7)	(LRR F)

9)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D	7) (LRR F)
Yes No	Depth (inches):		
Yes No	Depth (inches):		
Yes No	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	No
am gauge, monitorin	g well, aerial photos, previous	inspections), if available:	
	Yes No Yes No	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches):	Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes am gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Rancho Viejo

Jurisdictional Determination

Supplemental Information Packet

May 2013

May 20, 2013



VIA FEDEX

Darvin Messer Project Manager U.S. Army Corps of Engineers CESWF-PER-R 819 Taylor Street, Room 3A37 Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Re: Supplemental Information Packet for Drainage Swale 14 (DS-14) on the Rancho Viejo Project, SWF-2011-00398

Dear Mr. Messer:

As requested, enclosed please find supplemental information to aid in your review of the Proposed Jurisdictional Determination of Waters of the U.S. for the Rancho Viejo Project. On March 29, 2013, aci consulting submitted the proposed JD to your attention via fedex. The enclosed information focuses on Drainage Swale 14 (DS-14) between Pond 1 and Pond 2 as described in the March 29, 2013, report.

The enclosed packet includes specific, pertinent slides from the March 7, 2013, presentation by aci consulting to you and Mr. David Madden at your offices. The packet also includes detailed figures showing the topographic flowline of DS-14 with various Photo Points (i.e. PP-1, PP-2, etc.) along DS-14. Enclosed, following the location figures, please find numerous photographs from south to north along DS-14. These photographs were taken either on August 7, 2012, or August 21, 2012. As we discussed in the presentation and Proposed JD Determination, these site investigations were completed immediately flowing a 7-inch rain event onsite; which equates to the magnitude of a 105-year storm event.

For your ease of use, I have also included the text from the proposed JD report pertaining to DS-14 submitted on March 29, 2013:

DS-14 is a drainage swale that leads approximately 3,500 linear feet north from POND-1 to POND-2 (Burrito Tank). The USGS 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle shows an intermittent blue-line directed nearly due north upgradient from POND-1 into the retaining wall below POND-2 (Burrito Tank). However, detailed field-recorded topographic surveys show the drainage swale topographic low point from east of Burrito



Tank wandering south toward POND-1. As shown in Photo 2 [disregard] below, the flow line on three or four occasions flows uphill while progressing south (downstream) toward POND-1 and over the course of 3,500 linear feet drops approximately 9.15 feet (from 541 MSL to 533 MSL), most of which is lost in the northernmost 1,000 feet. The southern approximately 2,500 linear feet remain nearly flat at 535 feet above MSL.

The topographic bottom of DS-14 between POND-1 and POND-2 does not contain a contiguous OHWM for the approximate 3,500 linear feet between the open water features. There are locations within the northernmost 1,000 feet where low-lying areas collect water, but no evidence of flow is apparent. Three parallel linear features do not appear to be in line or topographically connected.

No evidence of stormwater exiting POND-2 (Burrito Tank) downgradient to a flow line and further downgradient to POND-1 was observed. This is particularly significant for field investigations immediately following the seven-inch rain event on July 27, 2012. No evidence of surface flow was observed from the lowest elevation at Burrito Tank, the apparent spillway bypass on the eastern terminus of the impoundment berm. As such, DS-14 and POND-2 do not appear to have a surface hydrologic connection downstream to POND-1, E-1, and, ultimately, the Rio Grande, a TNW of the United States. (aci consulting 2013, page 16)

I hope the enclosed information is assistive in your review of the site setting. As discussed before, if you believe a site investigation of the area would provide better information, we are enthusiastic to coordinate a site visit at your earliest convenience. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at 512-852-3888.

Sincerely,

Kevin Ramberg



Supplemental Information DS-14

Attachments





Rancho Viejo (Pescadito) JD Status Meeting

SWF-2011-00398 March 7, 2013 aci consulting










Drainage Swale (DS)-14 Between Ponds 1 and 2

Findings:

- No OHWM 3,500 linear feet between the two features
- No evidence of surface flow from Pond 2 (Burrito Tank) after 100+ year storm event
 - No appearance of hydrologic connection




























DS-14 Immediately Below Pond 2



At apparent outfall from Pond 2 complex, no evidence of stormwater exiting











Rancho Viejo DS-14 Supplemental Information: Sheet 2 of 3: Southern Detail Sheet




Rancho Viejo DS-14 Supplemental Information: Sheet 3 of 3: Northern Detail Sheet




Rancho Viejo JD, SWF 2011-398 Supplemental Information Packet May 2013 Page 11










Rancho Viejo JD, SWF 2011-398 Supplemental Information Packet May 2013 Page 12
























- Josephin and and	the second second	- AL	1
	and the second second	and the second second	-
the states of	The Prove	and a state	
N. Property		ALL PROPERTY	
A STATE		AN DECEMBER OF THE	
			A Marie
ancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 5 (PP-5)	Photo #: 9
-	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
ate: 8/21/2012	Comment		North












(The case)		A THE ARE ARE ARE AREA		
Post	8 1 me: 149 Mon: +027.55	Aug 7 10:15:15 CDT 201 30* / -059.1993*	*	and the second sec
(A0000A)	uda: 561R			
Elew	ation Angle: -	196* S70W 3520mils (Tr 08.9*	(13)	
Hart	2000 Angles 44	"a.n		
	m: JX 1 glown at far	109		
	1			
	P LOCIAL	and the second se		
1.000	- Francis	The Constanting		A 11-202 (N 100)
	Je and	http://www.	Break Strain - 10 - 2 - 10 - 2 - 10 - 10	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER
	har .	1 March 1	A CANADA CANADA	1 and 1
	1 Marson	Jan B. T. S. T.	THE AND A STREET	14
Sec.	1 AM	as the second of	State State State ()	1
. See		A The second second		to and
	of Cashe		· 他像的事实。在1997年,中国	the The
		万 國家計 希望		
200	1 Jose F			The state
	A BARRIE	14 40 - 3	A LAND A CARDINE	
	12/12	5. 作为有意		
	. Contract	20-199		8-10-5
D 1 TZ · ·)	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 7 (PP-7)	Photo #: 13
Rancho Viejo				Direction:
Kancho V iejo		Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 8 A. Time: Tue	Comment		North
Date: 8/7/2012	2 & Time: Tue Mon: +027.55 udz: 524R nutr/Beating:	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31* 7 -059.1636* 013* N13E 0231mile (Tr.	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 • 6. Three: Tue Mon: +027.55 uda: 5248 uda: 5248 uda: 5248 interfing: eiton Angle:	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31° / -099.1636° 013° N13E 0231mile (Tru 07.8°	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 & Time: Tue Mon:027.55 uda: 624R nutr/Beeting: edion Anglas0 zan Anglas0	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Times Tue Mon: +027.55 ude: 524R nuti-Tesening: etion Angle: -0 m: 1%	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Time: Tue Hon: +C27.55 ude: 52A1 nutl-Desting: an Angle -C m: UX 1 una telance	Comment Aug. 7 10:15:47 CDT 201 31*7-099.1636* 013* M13E 0231mlls (Tr. 07.8* 19.8*	2	
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Time: Tue Hon: +C27.55 ude: 52A1 nutl-Desting: an Angle -C m: UX 1 una telance	Comment		North
Date: 8/7/2012	2 A Time: Tue Mon: +027.55 udz: 5247 nutri Bearing: an Angus -0 m: 1% 1 up actioned in 1%	Comment		North




Rancho Viejo Date: 8/21/2012	Location Description Comment	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 8 (PP-8) Drainage Swale, Upstream	Photo #: 15 Direction: North
Date & Tim Position: 4027 Altitude: 530f Azmultiz Bearing Elevation Angle: Zoom: 1X wat 1 on road h	doug 7 10:10:55 CDT 2013 2007 NOTE ODTEMIS TO 15.4 ont		

Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 8 (PP-8)	Photo #: 16
5	Description	Drainage Swale, Downstream	Direction:
Date: 8/7/2012	Comment		South










	s: Tus Aug. 7 10:2/3/08 (0) 027.5542* / -088. 1682*		
Althude: 52 Azimuth/Ba	297. Autro: 039* N39E_0663m	ile (True)	
Elevation A Horizon Ar	ngla: -07.9°		
Zoom: 1X			
west 1 wept1	z up	Joiler	
And the second second	Strain Strains	Alt	
and the second second	Market	man in the second state of the second	10000
	and an and a set of the set of th	a start of the start of the start of the	
		The set of the set of the set	
	And the second second		
	N= 4= 2		
	A second second		A sugar
			and the
		ACCOUNT AND THE	
		The second second	
The second	2. 1. 1. 34		
1 77	T		DI
Lancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 10 (PP-10)	Photo #: 19 Direction:
	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
-t 0 /7 /2012			North
Date: 8/7/2012	Comment		North
Date & Tim	Comment		North
Date & Tim Position - Altitude 51	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position - Attructe of Azmuth Br Elevation A	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position - Attructe: 51 Azmuth/Br	Comment	DT 2012	North
Data & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azimuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Data & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azimuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Data & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azimuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Data & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azimuth Ba Filewation A Heutzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Data & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azimuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azmuth Ba Eleventon A Hartzan An	Comment	DT 2012	North
Date & Tim Position - of Altitude: 55 Aztruth/16 Filestion A Harizan An Zoome 1% web twool	Comment	DT 2012	North
Data & Tim Position Attructer 51 Azimuth Ba Filewation A Heutzan An	Comment	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 10 (PP-10)	










Attructor: 61-67 Attructor: 61-67 Hortsone Angel: +12, 79 Hortsone Angel: +12,
Zoom: 1X
and the second
and the second
Rancho Viejo Location DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 12 (PP-12) Photo #: 23
InterviewDescriptionDescriptionDescriptionDirection:DescriptionDrainage Swale, UpstreamDirection:
Date: 8/7/2012 Comment North





Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 13 (PP- 13)	Photo #: 25
		Drainago Swala Upstroom	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Description Comment	Drainage Swale, Upstream	North
Date: 8/21/2012			



Az nopub/Bban Elevation Ange Zoom: 1X wat 36 up	e: 13.6°		
Rancho Viejo Date: 8/7/2012	Location Description Comment	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 14 (PP-14) Drainage Swale, Upstream Dry offline low lying area. Not	Photo #: 27 Direction: North
		connected to anything.	
Position: +027 Attitude: 4910	ng: 219* 880W 9898mile 6: -11.1* : +0017*	2012	
Position: 027 Altrude: 0911 Azimuth Beart Elevation Angle Hortzan Angle Zoom: 1X	19091* / -009.1922* ng: 219* 669W 9699mil: t: -11.1* : -000.7*	2012	
Position: 027 Althude: 0911 Azimuth Beart Elevation Angle Hortzan Angle Zoom: 1X	19091* / -009.1922* ng: 219* 669W 9699mil: t: -11.1* : -000.7*	2012	
Position: 022 Altitude: 001t Azimuth Beart Elevation Angle Hortzan Angle Zoom: 1X	19091* / -009.1922* ng: 219* 669W 9699mil: t: -11.1* : -000.7*	2012	Photo #: 28 Direction:





Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 15 (PP-15)	Photo #: 29
14/10/0 1 10/0	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Comment		North




Rancho V iejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 15 (PP-15)	Photo #: 30
U U	Description	Drainage Swale, Downstream	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Comment		South

























Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 19 (PP-19)	Photo #: 37
5	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012			North
Date. 0/21/2012	Comment	Dry offline low lying area. Not	INOIUI











Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 20 (PP-20)	Photo #: 41
	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Comment		North

						and the second
Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PH	IOTO-POI	INT 20	(PP-20)	Photo #

Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 20 (PP-20)	Photo #: 42
0	Description	Drainage Swale, Downstream	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Comment		South




	SALAN ANY		*
	Repair	a the state	and the second
200	ALK D	a a state a state	
Contraction of the second s	SHITLE!		A. C.C.
	· · · · · ·	ANIL 2 TO A	
	the second		
	1 Contractor	The second strength of the	
the same of the	AND THE REAL		
	and the second s	Extended and a second	
of a second	Charles State		
	A LEAST		
			1
		ROATESS A	
	的大口人	THE KANN	5 100
Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 21 (PP-21)	Photo #: 43
	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Comment		North
Rancho Viejo	Location	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 21 (PP-21)	Photo #: 44
	Description	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 21 (PP-21) Drainage Swale, Downstream	Direction:
Rancho Viejo Date: 8/21/2012		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



Position: +02	Tue Aug. 7 11:0512 (0017 20 27:5568 / -099, 1606	Was his with at	
Altitude: 552 Azimuth/Bea Elevation An	aring: 226" S46W 4018mils.dh	oet	No. and
Horizon Ang Zoom: 1X			
wat 1 wpt30	down		
SI PO			KAN .
a dest			
and the second			
N//			Nº 4 C
	A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE		
	The South Server		
	1		17 - 24 -
	A 119		
Rancho Viejo	Location Description	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 22 (PP-22) Drainage Swale, Upstream	Photo #: 45 Direction:
Date: 8/7/2012	Comment		North
Date & Time Positions - 02	Tues 400 11:05:24 CDT 20	12	
Position +0: < Altitude 551	27.5605 (1069.1805* 19. j gle: -10.6° le: -00.8°	This is a second se	
Position of Almuse 51 Azimuth Bas Elevation Ann Horizer, Ang Zoom: 1X wat 1 wpt30	27.5605 / 005.1806 rrng, 062 / 065 E 1102mile (17 gle: -10.6 ² up		
Position =0 Altitude, 6,1 Azimuth, Be Elevation An Horizot, Ang Zoom: 1X	the second secon	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 22 (PP-22)	Photo #: 46
Position of Almuse 51 Azimuth Bas Elevation Ann Horizer, Ang Zoom: 1X wat 1 wpt30	27.5605 / 005.1806 rrng, 062 / 065 E 1102mile (17 gle: -10.6 ² up		Photo #: 46 Direction: South









Elevention An Harizon Ang Zoom: 1X		URASE)	
	A COLORED TO		
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE	The state of the same same same and a state of the state	A LOW AND
		THE ALL MADE	
Rancho Viejo	Location Description	DS-14 PHOTO-POINT 24 (PP-24) Drainage Swale, Upstream	Photo #: 49 Direction:

Rancho Viejo

Approved Jurisdictional Determination

Supplemental Information Packet

July 2013

July 30, 2013



VIA FEDEX

Darvin Messer Project Manager U.S. Army Corps of Engineers CESWF-PER-R 819 Taylor Street, Room 3A37 Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Re: Approved JD Supplemental Information Packet on the Rancho Viejo Project, SWF-2011-00398

Dear Mr. Messer:

As requested, enclosed please find supplemental information to aid in your coordination with the EPA on the Proposed Jurisdictional Determination (JD) of Waters of the U.S. for the Rancho Viejo Project. On March 29, 2013, aci consulting submitted the proposed JD to your attention via fedex. On May 20, 2013, aci consulting submitted information focusing on Drainage Swale 14 (DS-14) between Pond 1 and Pond 2 as described in the March 29, 2013, report.

The enclosed packet includes a summary of the information provided in March and May of this year. The packet also includes detailed figures showing the site location, topography of the site, watershed of the site, soil survey of the area and proposed jurisdictional determinations onsite. Enclosed, following the location figures, please find numerous photographs from south to north along DS-14. These photographs were taken either on August 7, 2012, or August 21, 2012. As we discussed, these site investigations were completed immediately flowing a seven-inch rain event onsite; which equates to the magnitude of a 105-year storm event.

I hope the enclosed information is assistive in your review of the site setting and coordination with the EPA. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at 512-852-3888.

Sincerely,

Kevin Ramberg



Delineation and Proposed Jurisdictional Determination of Waters of the U.S. for the Rancho Viejo Project in Webb County, Texas

USACE Project No. SWF 2011-00398

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to request a formal determination of the extent of waters jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act on the Rancho Viejo tract in Webb County, Texas. This report summarizes the framework of Section 404 jurisdictional waters, presents the environmental conditions of the site and surrounding areas, and proposes areas that qualify as jurisdictional under Section 404.

This report presents the results of the jurisdictional waters determination of the Rancho Viejo project, hereafter referred to as the "Project Area," in accordance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, the 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual (USACE 1987), and the Final Great Plains Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (USACE 2010). Specifically, this assessment identifies the locations and extents of potential waters of the United States, including wetlands.

The Project Area for the Rancho Viejo site is approximately 1,110 acres in rural Webb County, south of U.S. Highway 59, approximately 20 miles east of Laredo, Texas (Appendix A, Figure 1). In addition to the Project Area, a Downstream Study Area downstream of the Project Area was reviewed to investigate the hydrologic connection of the site downstream with traditionally navigable waterways.

This submittal supplements previous information provided to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and specifically includes a detailed review of drainages and wetlands within the Project Area and Downstream Study Area. The purpose of this expanded study area is to review in the field and present the potential for a significant nexus to downstream Traditional Navigable Waters (TNW) from the study area.

2.0 AREA OF INVESTIGATION

The total area of investigation consists of the 1,100-acre Project Area and a 1,377-acre Downstream Study Area. The Project Area for the Rancho Viejo site is located in rural Webb County, south of U.S. Highway 59, approximately 20 miles east of Laredo, Texas (Appendix A, Figures 1 and 2). Rancho Viejo is in the uppermost headwaters of the Rio Grande, approximately 28 aerial miles north and 48 river miles upstream from the Rio Grande. The predominant land uses in the vicinity of the study area are rangeland, primarily for cattle grazing and wildlife habitat, and oil and gas exploration.



Page 3 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398

To provide a comprehensive understanding of the watershed and context of the Rancho Viejo site, field investigations included analysis of areas surrounding the site. The study area includes a Downstream Study Area immediately south of, and downgradient from, the Project Area. The Downstream Study Area was investigated to provide a greater context and understanding of the Project Area and the potential for hydrologic connectivity. The Downstream Study Area stretches approximately 2.6 linear miles downstream from the Project Area and encompasses approximately 1,377 acres. The Downstream Study Area is bound to the south by an existing railroad alignment, which was the southernmost extent of right-of-entry. The Downstream Study Area is also shown in Appendix A, Figure 2.

2.1 Watershed

The Project Area and Downstream Study Area are located in the northernmost portion of the Rio Grande basin just 0.70 mile south of the watershed divide into the Nueces River basin. The majority of the potential waters that intersect the Project Area and Downstream Study Area are primary and secondary tributaries of San Juanito Creek. San Juanito Creek is approximately 7.68 river miles south of the Project Area and 4.80 river miles south of the southernmost point within the Downstream Study Area. San Juanito Creek flows south from one unnamed tributary to the next before it reaches Blanacas Creek and then Dolores Creek. Dolores Creek empties into the Rio Grande, approximately 45.50 river miles from the southernmost point of the Downstream Study Area, which is approximately 14.23 river miles south of the Webb and Zapata County line (Appendix A, Figure 3).

2.1.1 Hydrologic Connection to Rio Grande

The Rio Grande is defined as a navigable waterway under Section 10 of the River and Harbors Act of 1899 from the Webb/Zapata County line north, upstream to the Texas/New Mexico border (USACE 1999). San Juanito Creek connects to the Rio Grande in Zapata County south of the USACE-designated, Section 10 "navigable," segment of the Rio Grande. Therefore, where San Juanito Creek connects to the Rio Grande may not qualify as a TNW under current Section 404 guidance; however, for the purposes of this report, it is assumed that the Rio Grande is considered a TNW at the point of confluence with San Juanito Creek in Zapata County.

2.1.2 Onsite Hydrology

Review of the USGS 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle and aerial photographs of the Project Area revealed at least six potential USGS blue-line waters crossing the Project Area, with at least seven man-made stock ponds.

The Downstream Study Area contains Hornitos Tank, a pond complex. In the Downstream Study Area, below Hornitos Tank, the primary tributary drains north to south, with adjacent USGS blue-lines contributing from the west and the east. Also within the Downstream Study Area, three drainages collect at POND-1 (Hornitos Tank). Additionally, within the Downstream Study Area there are numerous other man-made stock tanks shown on aerial photography. These



Page 4 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398

drainages and open water features were evaluated during the field investigations and are described in Section 5.0 below.

2.2 Landform

The Project Area is located within the Texas-Tamaulipan Thornscrub ecoregion of the Southern Texas Plains (Griffith et al. 2007). This ecoregion is distinguishable by its lightly rolling plains, low-growing thorn shrubland, and noticeable cuts throughout the landscape created by arroyos and streams.

Near Laredo, Texas (approximately 19.6 miles west of the Project Area), the Texas-Tamaulipan Thornscrub ecoregion transitions to the Rio Grande Floodplain and Terraces ecoregion, which is unmistakably characterized by its dramatic change in elevation. The boundaries for the Rio Grande Floodplain and Terraces ecoregion's alluvial floodplain and low terraces are influenced by soils, geology, and topographic features that help characterize the narrow riverine region from the neighboring upland sections of the Texas-Tamaulipan Thornscrub ecoregion. The Project Area lies within the headwaters of the Rio Grande Basin, about 20 miles from the Rio Grande, and is bordered to the immediate north by the Nueces River Basin (Griffith et al. 2007).

2.3 Climate

The Project Area and Downstream Study Area lie within District 9 created by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). District 9 (South Texas Plains) is identified as sub-tropical steppe or semi-arid brushland. The geographic location of the Project Area subjects this site to *El Niño Southern Oscillation* and air from the Gulf of Mexico that produces tropical storms. *El Niño Southern Oscillation* affects moisture patterns of the Pacific Ocean and causes long-lasting precipitation impacts to Texas, leading to moderate or severe drought (TWDB 2004).

The subtropical climate of the Texas-Tamaulipan Thornscrub ecoregion is distinguished by hot, dry summers and mild winters. Peak rainfall for this ecoregion usually occurs in the fall and spring. Precipitation is unpredictable, with extreme moisture variation from year to year. Fall rainfall usually originates from the tropics, while spring rainfall occurs because of frontal activity.

The Texas-Tamaulipan Thornscrub ecoregion is characterized by an annual mean of 22 to 26 inches of precipitation and an annual mean of 280 to 300 frost-free days (Griffith et al. 2007). More specific to the Project Area, the Webb County Soil Survey documents the average annual rainfall in Laredo, Texas from 1931-1979 as 19.8 inches annually and the average snowfall from 1965-1978 as 0.40 inch annually (SCS 1985).

2.4 Vegetation

According to the McMahan et al. (1984), the northern third of the Project Area and the southernmost extent of the Downstream Study Area are within Mesquite-Blackbrush Brush, while the remaining areas lie within Other Native and/or Introduced Grasses.



2.5 Topography

According to the *Burrito Tank* USGS 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle, the elevation of the Project Area ranges from approximately 535 feet above mean sea level (MSL) to approximately 570 feet above MSL. The Downstream Study Area ranges from approximately 535 feet above MSL to approximately 510 feet above MSL, with an internal high point at 550 MSL located in the lower western portion of the area (Appendix A, Figure 4).

2.6 Soils

Six soil units (Appendix A, Figure 5) are found within the Project Area (SCS 1985):

- Aguilares sandy clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes (AgB),
- Brundage fine sandy loam, occasionally flooded (Bd),
- Catarina clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes (CaB),
- Catarina clay, occasionally flooded (CfA),
- Moglia clay loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes (MgC), and
- Montell clay, saline, 0 to 2 percent slopes (MnB).

Six soil units (Appendix A, Figure 5) are found within the Downstream Study Area (SCS 1985):

- Copita fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes (CpB),
- *Hebbronville loamy fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes (HeB),*
- Brundage fine sandy loam, occasionally flooded (Bd),
- Catarina clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes (CaB),
- Catarina clay, occasionally flooded (CfA), and
- Moglia clay loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes (MgC).

None of the soil units onsite are considered hydric according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA 2013).

3.0 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS AND WETLANDS DELINEATION METHODOLOGY

aci consulting scientists conducted a desktop analysis of historic aerial photographs, National Wetlands Inventory maps, current aerial photographs, and the USGS topographic quadrangles prior to field investigations to identify any potential locations for waters of the United States and areas prone to wetland development.

aci consulting field personnel surveyed the Project Area and Downstream Study Area for potential waters of the U.S., including wetlands, on March 6 and 7, 2012; August 6 through



August 10, 2012; August 21 and 22, 2012; and September 5, 2012. Where apparent, personnel measured the width of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) along the length of the waterway. Locations of measurements for potential waters were recorded using a Garmin Rino 655t handheld GPS unit, and locations of measurements for potential wetlands were recorded using a Trimble GeoXT handheld GPS unit with sub-meter accuracy. Digital photographs were taken, one upstream and one downstream, within and at the upper and lower extents of potential waters and at potential wetlands.

4.0 DETERMINATION RESULTS

This assessment identifies the locations and extents of potential waters of the United States in accordance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, the 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual (USACE 1987), and the Final Great Plains Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (USACE 2010).

The results present findings not only within the Project Area but also the Downstream Study Area. The purpose for the analysis of the larger areas was to provide hydrologic context for the Rancho Viejo site and where it is located within the watershed.

The findings of the proposed jurisdictional determination of waters (including wetlands) are presented below for the Project Area and Downstream Study Area. The presentation includes:

- Section 4.1: Traditional Navigable Waters—None proposed within the study area;
- Section 4.2: Relatively Permanent Waters—None proposed within the study area;
- Section 4.3: Non-Relatively Permanent Waters—Few proposed within the Downstream Study Area, none proposed within the Rancho Viejo Project Area;
 - o Significant Nexus Analysis for the Downstream Study Area Non-RPW
- Section 4.4: Non-jurisdictional Drainage Swales—Few proposed within the Downstream Study Area and within the Rancho Viejo Project Area; and
 - Significant Nexus Analysis for the Project Area Non-Jurisdictional Drainage Swale
- Section 4.5: Non-jurisdictional, Isolated Open Water Features—Few proposed within the Downstream Study Area and within the Rancho Viejo Project Area.

Field investigations in March, August, and September confirmed the Project Area and Downstream Study Area as typical of the thornscrub landscape with dry, semi-arid rolling



Page 7 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398

topography. As confirmed by the land owner, the ranch has been grazed by cattle historically and continues to be used for that purpose. As expected in the landscape, numerous man-made tanks have been constructed to hold stormwater runoff and provide improved surface water for livestock and wildlife.

A preliminary reconnaissance of the Project Area and Downstream Study Area was completed in March 2012. This reconnaissance was followed by detailed field survey of the Downstream Study Area and Project Area from August to September 2012.

Of special note, field investigations in August 2012 immediately followed a seven-inch rain event on July 27, 2012 of the magnitude of a 105-year storm event. The rain event also equated to one third of the annual rainfall for the area. This event provided a very assistive opportunity to show the movement of stormwater and floodwater within the watershed.

4.1 Traditional Navigable Waters

No TNWs occur within the Project Area or the Downstream Study Area. The closest potential TNW is the Rio Grande, which is approximately 48 river miles downstream from the Rancho Viejo Project Area. Where San Juanito Creek connects to the Rio Grande in Zapata County may not qualify as a TNW under current Section 404 guidance. However, for the purposes of this report, it is assumed that the Rio Grande is considered a TNW at the point of confluence with the San Juanito Creek.

4.2 Relatively Permanent Waters

Based on review of historical and current aerial photography, review of previous site photographs from April 2011; site reconnaissance in March, August, and September 2012; and discussions with the landowner and land manager, the tributaries onsite do not appear to qualify as RPWs as defined by the USACE Jurisdictional Determination Form Instructional Guidebook (2007) and the USACE's Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court Decision in *Rapanos v. United States* (USACE 2008). The tributaries within the Downstream Study Area and further upstream within the Project Area do not appear to flow year round or have continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g. typically 3 months).

This finding is not based solely upon the review of historic and current desktop resources but includes the site review following the seven-inch rain event on July 27, 2012. This rain event of the magnitude of a 105-year storm did not generate base flow or maintained stormwater runoff in the tributaries onsite.

The closest potential RPW is San Juanito Creek several river miles downstream of the Project Area and the Downstream Study Area. Desktop review of aerials and photography at the Highway 359 crossing of San Juanito Creek showed no evidence of year-round significant seasonal flow (Photo 1). This location is approximately six aerial miles from the Project Area.



Page 8 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398




Photo 1: Highway 359 crossing of San Juanito Creek approximately 6 miles downstream of Project Area with no appearance of year-round or seasonal flow.

4.3 Non-Relatively Permanent Waters

In this report, the presentation of non-RPWs begins at the farthest downstream point in the investigation area (the southernmost point in the Downstream Study Area) and moves northward (up the watershed). Detailed discussion of each non-RPW, open water body, and wetland is presented. All water features are assigned an alpha symbol code based on classification: Ephemeral (E), Drainage Swale (DS), and open water/wetland complexes (POND). Each water feature is then given a consecutive number classification. For example, Ephemeral Tributary #1 is assigned E-1.

Based on the tributaries within the Downstream Study Area and Project Area being defined as non-RPW, a significant nexus determination for connection to downstream TNW is presented below. A significant nexus evaluation was completed for the relative reach of the primary tributary within the Downstream Study Area, Ephemeral-1 (E-1), from the southernmost accessible point (the railroad crossing) upstream, north to POND-1 (Hornitos Tank). Above



POND-1, three higher-order drainages (E-7, E-6, and DS-14) contribute to POND-1. Two of these higher-order drainages (E-6 and DS-14) drain from the Project Area. E-7 collects from the northwest of POND-1, and DS-14 drains from the north downgradient from POND-2 (Burrito Tank). In Section 5.4, an additional significant nexus determination is also presented for the relative reach of DS-14 above POND-1. The locations of the water features are shown in Appendix A, Figure 6 and photographs of typical areas along the tributaries are included in Appendix B: Photolog.

4.3.1 Ephemeral 1 (E-1): Proposed Jurisdictional, Including Significant Nexus Determination

Ephemeral 1 (E-1) is a non-RWP, ephemeral tributary within the Downstream Study Area, with consistent (but not fully contiguous) OHWM along the 2.6 miles from the downstream railroad crossing north to POND-1 (Hornitos Tank). At POND-1, three higher-order tributaries converge at the tank. The average width of E-1 within the Downstream Study Area is 8.3 feet.

On July 27, 2012, a rain event of approximately seven inches occurred within the watershed. During field investigations the following week, POND-1 showed no evidence of breach or outflow around bypass berms downstream to E-1 as a result of this rain event.

At the time of the field investigation, occasional ponded areas were observed in low lying areas along E-1 resulting from the recent seven-inch rain event, but there were no areas within E-1 where water was flowing.

4.3.2 POND-1: Open Water / Wetland Complex

The POND-1 complex consists of three ponds at the upper extent of E-1 within the Downstream Study Area. POND-1 includes: a main large pond with a berm at its southern extent; a small adjacent pond to the west, which is separated from the main large pond by Ranch Road 7150J; and a small pond to the north, which is separated from the main large pond by a berm along its southern extent.

Approximately 30 wetlands shovel tests were performed surrounding POND-1. Open water was present in POND-1 at the time of the field investigations and the complex consists of both potential emergent fringe wetlands and open water. Field investigations identified 14.2 acres of open water and as many as 13 acres of wetlands surrounding the POND-1. These acreages may be larger than the typical conditions due to the seven-inch rain event immediately prior to field investigations.

The location of POND-1 is shown in Appendix A, Figure 6. Photographs of typical areas and wetland datasheets within POND-1 were included in the March 29, 2013 as Appendix B and Appendix D, respectively.



4.3.3 E-1 and POND-1: Significant Nexus Analysis

E-1: Downstream Characteristics

Downstream characteristics were reviewed below E-1 to determine how the USGS blue-line areas function as they relate to the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the downstream TNW, the Rio Grande. Approximately 4.81 river miles (3.35 aerial miles) downstream of the Downstream Study Area, E-1 contributes to San Juanito Creek. San Juanito Creek flows downstream from one unnamed tributary to the next before it reaches Blanacas Creek and then Dolores Creek approximately 45.5 river miles (26.33 aerial miles) from its confluence with the Rio Grande in Zapata County, Texas. At this point of confluence, the Rio Grande is not on the USACE list of navigable waters of the U.S. within Texas (USACE 1999); however, the Rio Grande is likely considered a TNW.

E-1: Relevant Reach within Downstream Study Area

E-1 within the Downstream Study Area (including POND-1) is proposed as an ephemeral, non-RPW that is jurisdictional under Section 404 of the CWA for the following reasons: 1) OHWM within the relative reach and, 2) E-1's ability for the tributary to carry pollutants and floodwater downstream to the Rio Grande.

Each of the four criteria for significant nexus determination is presented below for the relevant reach of E-1 based on field observations of the functional chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a downstream TNW. A completed jurisdictional determination form detailing the significant nexus analysis was included in March 29, 2013 submittal (as Appendix C) for the relevant reach of E-1 and POND-1.

- 1. The ability for a tributary/wetland to carry pollutants and floodwater to a TNW:
- a. The relevant reach of E-1 may have a more-than-speculative ability to carry pollutants and flood water to a TNW. A consistently (but not fully contiguous) defined channel with bed, bank, and OHWM was present within the Downstream Study Areas (from the railroad track upgradient to POND-1);
- b. this OHWM has the potential to connect E-1 downstream to San Juanito Creek and ultimately to the Rio Grande;
- c. no flowing water was present along E-1 during field investigations, which included field investigations immediately following a seven-inch rain event (which equated to more than one third of the annual rainfall for the area); and
- d. the seven-inch rain event did not generate breach or flow from POND-1 downstream into the immediately proximate E-1.
- 2. The ability to provide habitat for aquatic species that also live in the TNW:
- a. E-1 does not provide aquatic habitat;
- b. no flowing water or dense stands of wetland vegetation were observed within the areas associated with E-1;



- c. E-1 is not likely to support habitat for federally listed species, fish spawn areas, or other environmentally sensitive species; and
- d. POND-1 may provide some aquatic habitat seasonally.
- 3. The ability for a wetland to trap and filter pollutants, and store flood water before reaching TNW:
- a. E-1 does not contain wetland features that may trap, filter, or store floodwater and
- b. POND-1 contains wetland fringe that may provide some limited ability to trap, filter and store floodwater.
- 4. The ability for a tributary/wetland to maintain water quality of the TNW:
- a. E-1 has very minimal ability to maintain water quality within the Rio Grande. E-1 is located in the uppermost headwaters of the Rio Grande Basin (27 aerial miles from the Rio Grande and 2 miles from the Nueces River basin). E-1's influence on the water quality of the Rio Grande may be more than speculative, but it is very minimal.

4.3.4 Contiguous Secondary Tributaries to E-1: Proposed Jurisdictional

Within the Downstream Study Area, five higher-order ephemeral tributaries drain into E-1 or POND-1. If the relevant reach of E-1 is proposed jurisdictional within the Downstream Study Area, then the higher-order stream with contiguous OHWMs connecting to E-1 are also proposed jurisdictional. Each of these tributaries with a contiguous OHWM is presented below and shown in Appendix A, Figure 6 and photographs of typical areas along the tributaries are included in Appendix B.

• Ephemeral 3 (E-3): Proposed Jurisdictional

E-3 is a non-RPW, ephemeral tributary within the Downstream Study Area, with a general OHWM from its confluence with E-1 upstream approximately 1,570 feet before losing OHWM definition and transitioning to sheet flow. No water was present in E-3 during the field investigation. The average width of E-3's OHWM, where apparent, is 2.33 feet.

• Ephemeral 6 (E-6): Proposed Jurisdictional

E-6 is a non-RPW ephemeral tributary within the Downstream Study Area, with a general OHWM from its confluence with POND-1 upstream approximately 1,550 feet before losing OHWM definition and transitioning to sheet flow. At the time of the field investigation, occasional ponded areas were observed along E-6 resulting from the recent seven-inch rain event, but there were no areas within E-6 where water was flowing. The average width of E-6's OHWM, where apparent, is 17.14 feet.

• Ephemeral 7 (E-7): Proposed Jurisdictional

E-7 is a non-RPW ephemeral tributary within the Downstream Study Area, with a general OHWM from its confluence with POND-1 upstream approximately 1,290



Page 12 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398

feet before losing OHWM definition. At the time of the field investigation, occasional ponded areas were observed along E-7 resulting from the recent seveninch rain event, but there were no areas within E-7 where water was flowing. The average width of E-7's OHWM, where apparent, is 10.92 feet.

• Ephemeral 8 (E-8): Proposed Jurisdictional

E-8 is a non-RPW ephemeral tributary within the Downstream Study Area, with a general OHWM from its confluence with E-6 upstream approximately 4,840 feet before losing OHWM definition. At the time of the field investigation, occasional ponded areas were observed along E-8 resulting from the recent seven-inch rain event, but there were no areas within E-8 where water was flowing. The average width of E-8's OHWM, where apparent, is 6.15 feet.

• Ephemeral 10 (E-10): Proposed Jurisdictional

E-10 is a non-RPW ephemeral tributary within the Downstream Study Area, with a general OHWM from its confluence with E-1 upstream approximately 1,390 feet before losing OHWM definition. At the time of the field investigation, occasional ponded areas were observed along E-10 resulting from the recent seven-inch rain event, but there were no areas within E-10 where water was flowing. The average width of E-10's OHWM, where apparent, is 16.13 feet.

4.4 Non-Jurisdictional Drainage Swales

Drainage swales, erosional drains, small washes, and overland sheet flow features that do not possess contiguous OHWMs, do not have wetland characteristics, and do not carry relatively permanent flows of water are not considered jurisdictional (USACE 2007). Seven non-jurisdictional drainage swales occur within the Project Area and Downstream Study Area. Each Drainage Swale (DS) is presented below from south to north progressing up the watershed on site. The location of each DS is shown in Appendix A, Figure 6, and photographs of the typical areas along each drainage swale are included in Appendix B.

4.4.1 Drainage Swale 2 (DS-2): Proposed Non-Jurisdictional

DS-2 connects to E-1 near the southern extent of the Downstream Study Area. Although DS-2 is shown as an intermittent blue-line on the USGS topographic quadrangle map, no field expression of an OHWM or wetland characteristics were apparent in the field.

4.4.2 Drainage Swale 4 (DS-4): Proposed Non-Jurisdictional

DS-4 connects to E-1 upstream of the point where E-3 connects to E-1 within the southern extent of the Downstream Study Area. DS-4 is shown as an intermittent blue-line on the USGS topographic quadrangle map; however, no field expression of an OHWM or wetland characteristics were observed during field investigations.



4.4.3 Drainage Swale 5 (DS-5): Proposed Non-Jurisdictional

DS-5 connects to E-1 upstream of the point where E-3 connects to E-1 within the southern extent of the Downstream Study Area. DS-5 is shown as an intermittent blue-line on the USGS topographic quadrangle map; however, no field expression of an OHWM or wetland characteristics were observed during field investigations.

4.4.4 Drainage Swale 11 (DS-11): Proposed Non-Jurisdictional

DS-11 connects to POND-2 within the Project Area. DS-11 is shown as an intermittent blue-line on the USGS topographic quadrangle map; however, no consistent field expression of an OHWM or wetland characteristics were observed during field investigations.

4.4.5 Drainage Swale 12 (DS-12): Proposed Non-Jurisdictional

DS-12 connects to POND-2 within the Project Area. DS-12 is shown as an intermittent blue-line on the USGS topographic quadrangle map; however, no consistent field expression of an OHWM or wetland characteristics were observed during field investigations.

4.4.6 Drainage Swale 13 (DS-13): Proposed Non-Jurisdictional

DS-13 connects to POND-2 within the Project Area. DS-13 is shown as an intermittent blue-line on the USGS topographic quadrangle map; however, no consistent field expression of an OHWM or wetland characteristics were observed during field investigations.

4.4.7 Drainage Swale 14 (DS-14): Proposed Non-Jurisdictional

DS-14 is a drainage swale that leads approximately 3,500 linear feet north from POND-1 to POND-2 (Burrito Tank). The USGS 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle shows an intermittent blue-line directed nearly due north upgradient from POND-1 into the retaining wall below POND-2 (Burrito Tank). However, detailed field-recorded topographic surveys show the drainage swale topographic low point from east of Burrito Tank wandering south toward POND-1. As shown in Photo 2 below, the flow line on three or four occasions flows uphill while progressing south (downstream) toward POND-1 and over the course of 3,500 linear feet drops approximately 9.15 feet (from 541 MSL to 533 MSL), most of which is lost in the northernmost 1,000 feet. The southern approximately 2,500 linear feet remain nearly flat at 535 feet above MSL.

The topographic bottom of DS-14 between POND-1 and POND-2 does not contain a contiguous OHWM for the approximate 3,500 linear feet between the open water features. There are locations within the northernmost 1,000 feet where low-lying areas collect water, but no evidence of flow is apparent. Three parallel linear features do not appear to be in line or topographically connected.

No evidence of stormwater exiting POND-2 (Burrito Tank) downgradient to a flow line and further downgradient to POND-1 was observed. This is particularly significant for field investigations immediately following the seven-inch rain event on July 27, 2012. No evidence of



Page 14 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398

surface flow was observed from the lowest elevation at Burrito Tank, the apparent spillway bypass on the eastern terminus of the impoundment berm. As such, DS-14 and POND-2 do not appear to have a surface hydrologic connection downstream to POND-1, E-1, and, ultimately, the Rio Grande, a TNW of the United States.




Photo 2: The flow line of DS-14 between POND-1 and POND-2 based on detailed topographic survey.



Page 15 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398



Photo 3: DS-14-looking north toward Burrito Tank from approximate point of uphill flow line.



Photo 4: DS-14-looking south from approximate point of most significant uphill flow line. Vehicle visible on road near southern extent of Project Area.



4.4.8 POND-2: Burrito Tank Open Water / Wetland Complex

Approximately 38 wetlands investigations were performed within the POND-2 (Burrito Tank) complex. Open water was present in Burrito Tank at the time of the field investigations. The POND-2 complex contains emergent wetland fringe and open water. Field investigations identified 32.7 acres of open water and as many as 10.7 acres of wetland fringe (Appendix A, Figure 6). These acreages may be larger than typical conditions due to the seven-inch rain event immediately prior to investigations. Photographs of typical areas and wetland datasheets within POND-2 were included in the March 29, 2013 submittal as Appendix B and Appendix E, respectively.

DS-14 and POND-2: Significant Nexus Analysis

DS-14: Relevant Reach within Project Area

Drainage Swale 14 (DS-14) within the Project Area (including POND-2) is proposed as nonjurisdictional under Section 404 of the CWA because it has no OHWM within the relative reach and because the tributary is unable to carry pollutants and floodwater downstream to the Rio Grande (approximately 28 aerial miles south and 48 river miles downstream).

Each of the four criteria listed earlier in this report for significant nexus determination is presented below for the relevant reach of DS-14 based on field observations of the functional chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a downstream TNW. The March 29, 2013 submittal (Appendix C) included a completed jurisdictional form detailing the significant nexus analysis for the relevant reach of DS-14 and POND-2.

- 1. The ability for a tributary/wetland to carry pollutants and floodwater to a TNW:
- a. The relevant reach of DS-14 does not contain an OHWM and showed no evidence of conveyance of stormwater (pollutants or floodwater) downstream to POND-1. Following the 105-year storm event, there was no evidence of stormwater exiting POND-2 and traveling down DS-14 to POND-1 and
- b. no flowing water was present along DS-14 during field investigations that included a visit immediately following a seven-inch rain event (which equates to more than 1/3 of the annual rainfall for the area).
- 2. The ability for a tributary to provide habitat for aquatic species that also live in the TNW:
- a. DS-14 does not provide aquatic habitat;
- b. no standing water or dense stands of wetland vegetation were observed within the areas associated with DS-14;
- c. DS-14 is not likely to support habitat for federally listed species, fish spawn areas, or other environmentally sensitive species; and
- d. POND-2 may provide some aquatic habitat seasonally, but it has no hydrologic connection downstream to TNW.



- 3. The ability for a wetland to trap and filter pollutants, and store flood water before reaching TNW:
- a. DS-14 does not contain wetland features that may trap, filter, or store floodwater and
- b. POND-2 contains wetland fringe that may provide some ability to trap, filter and store floodwater. However, the likelihood of this floodwater reaching TNW is highly unlikely based on the field investigations following the seven-inch rain event.
- 4. The ability for a tributary/wetland to maintain water quality of the TNW:
- a. DS-14 shows no evidence of having any more than an insubstantial or speculative influence on water quality of the respective TNW, the Rio Grande. DS-14 is located in the uppermost headwaters of the Rio Grande Basin (28 miles from the Rio Grande and 1.45 miles from the Nueces River basin).

4.5 Isolated Open Water Features

Two isolated, man-made stock tanks within the Downstream Study Area (POND-A, POND-B) and four similarly isolated stock tanks existing within the Project Area (POND-C, POND-D, POND-E and POND-3) appear to have no surface hydrologic connection to or adjacency to a water of the United States. Extensive wetlands investigations were performed within POND-3. POND-3 is an open water pond (5.8 acres) surrounded by potential fringe wetlands (4.4 acres) (Appendix A, Figure 6). Additional wetland datasheets for POND-3 and other areas within the study area are included in Appendix F.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Site investigations were completed on the 1,100-acre Project Area and a 1,377-acre Downstream Study Area to provide hydrologic context for the Project Area. Coincidentally, a 105-year-magnitude storm event occurred immediately prior to field investigations. This significant, seven-inch storm event equated to a 105-year magnitude storm and provided one third of the annual rainfall in approximately four hours. This storm event provided extraordinary opportunity to observe how a significant volume of stormwater would behave within the watershed.

Based on desktop review and field investigations, **aci consulting** located six, non-RPW ephemeral tributaries (E-1, E-3, E-6, E-7, E-8, and E-10) and one potentially jurisdictional wetland/open water feature (POND-1). A significant nexus review confirmed the relative reach of E-1 and POND-1 within the Downstream Study Area as potentially contributing to the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of downstream traditionally navigable waters (TNW). Therefore, this relative reach and connecting tributaries with OHWM were determined to likely fall under the jurisdiction of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Numerous non-jurisdictional drainage swales (DS-2, DS-4, DS-5, DS-11, DS-12, DS-13 and DS-14) were observed onsite. These drainage swales expressed no evidence of OHWM or collection of flow. A significant nexus determination was completed for the relative reach of DS-14



Page 18 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398

between POND-1 and POND-2. The determination found no significant nexus for DS-14 contributing to the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of downstream TNW. This included the POND-2 complex.

Additional isolated, man-made stock tanks were observed that appear to have no surface hydrologic connection to or adjacency with waters of the U.S. These included POND-A, POND-B, POND-C, POND-D, POND-E, POND-2, and POND-3.



Page 19 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398


6.0 **REFERENCES**

- Griffith, G., S. Bryce, J. Omernik, and A. Rogers. 2007. Ecoregions of Texas. Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Austin.
- McMahan, C.A., R.G. Frye, and K.L. Brown. 1984. The Vegetation Types of Texas. Austin: Texas Parks and Wildlife.
- (SCS) Soil Conservation Survey. 1985. Soil Survey of Webb County, Texas. United States Department of Agriculture. Texas Agriculture Experiment Station.
- (TWDB) Texas Water Development Board. 2004. Added from: http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/publications/state_Water_plan/2012/04.pdf
- (USACE) U.S Army Corps of Engineers Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1, Department of the Army, Waterways Experiment Station.
- (USACE) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1999. Navigable Waters of the United States in the Fort Worth, Albuquerque, and Tulsa Districts Within the State of Texas. March 20, 1999.
- (USACE) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2007. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determination Form Instructional Guidebook. May 30, 2007.
- (USACE) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2008. Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in Rapanos v. United States and Carabell v. United States. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Headquarters.
- (USACE) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Great Plains Region (Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-1. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineers Research and Development Center.
- (USACE) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2011. Draft Guidance on Identifying waters Protected by the Clean Water Act.
- (USDA) U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2013. National Hydric Soils List by State. Available at: soils.usda.gov/use/hydric/ Accessed February 2013.



Page 20 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398


APPENDIX A: FIGURES




Rancho Viejo Proposed Jurisdictional Waters Report Figure 1: Project Location




Rancho Viejo Proposed Jurisdictional Waters Report Figure 2: Project Area and Downstream Study Area




Rancho Viejo Proposedl Jurisdictional Waters Report Figure 3: River Basins



Rancho Viejo Proposed Jurisdictional Waters Report Figure 4: Project Area and Downstream Study Area Topographic Map



Rancho Viejo Proposed Jurisdictional Waters Report Figure 5: Project Area and Downstream Study Area Soils Map March 2013



Rancho Viejo Proposed Jurisdictional Waters Report Figure 6: Project Area and Downstream Study Area Waters




Page 21 Mr. Messer July 30, 2013 SWF 2011-00398


APPENDIX B: PHOTOGRAPHS




[This page intentionally left blank]












DescriptionPond-1, berm showingDirection:Date: 8/7/2012LocationE1-2Northeast	Rancho Viejo	Feature	E-1 Upstream Extent	Photo #: 3
Date: 8/7/2012 Location E1-2 Northeast	_	Description	Pond-1, berm showing	Direction:
	Date: 8/7/2012	Location	E1-2	Northeast



Kancho V 1ejo	Feature	E-I Upstream Extent	Photo #: 4
	Description	Ephemeral Stream -Downstream	Direction:
Date: 8/7/2012	Location	E1-2	Southwest





DS2-1


Rancho Viejo Feature DS-2 – Downstream Extent Photo #: 6 Description Confluence with E-1 Direction: West		Hotation	B01 1	Ttortifedate
Description Confluence with E-1 Direction:				
Description Confluence with E-1 Direction:				
DescriptionConfluence with E-1Direction:				
Description Confluence with E-1 Direction:	Danda IZiti	Facture	DS 2 Downstream Extent	Dhoto #: 6
	Kancho V lejo			
	Date: 8/6/2012			


Date: 8/6/2012

Location


Northeast


















Rancho Viejo	Feature	Upper Extent E-3, Transition to Drainage Swale (DS-3)	Photo #: 11
	Description	Ephemeral Stream, Downstream	Direction:
Date: 8/9/2012	Location	DS3-2	Southeast









Rancho Viejo	Feature	DS-3 Further Upstream	Photo #: 13
	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/9/2012	Location	DS3-3	Northwest













Rancho Viejo	Feature	DS-4 Further Upstream	Photo #: 17
	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/9/2012	Location	DS4-2	North






Rancho Viejo	Feature	DS-5 Downstream Extent	Photo #: 19
1X4111110 V 1010	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/9/2012	Location	DS5-1	Northeast






Rancho Viejo	Feature	DS-5 Further Upstream	Photo #: 21
	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/9/2012	Location	DS5-2	Northeast













Rancho Viejo	Feature	E-10 Upstream Extent	Photo #: 25
Date: 8/9/2012	Description Location	Ephemeral Stream, Upstream	Direction:
	l a a a ti a m	E10-2	Northeast








Rancho Viejo	Feature	E-6 Downstream Extent	Photo #: 27
	Description	Ephemeral Stream, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/8/2012	Location	E6-1	Northeast






Rancho Viejo	Feature	Upper Extent of E-6, Transition to Drainage Swale (DS-6)	Photo #: 29
Date: 8/8/2012	Description Location	Ephemeral Stream, Downstream DS6-2	Direction: Northwest






Rancho Viejo	Feature	DS-6 Further Upstream	Photo #: 31
	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/8/2012	Location	DS6-3	Southeast






Rancho Viejo	Feature	E-7 Downstream Extent	Photo #: 33
	Description	Ponded Water From POND-1	Direction:
Date: 8/7/2012		Visible	Northeast
	Location	E7-1	






Rancho Viejo	Feature	Upper Extent of E-7, Transition to Drainage Swale (DS-7)	Photo #: 35
	Description	Ephemeral Stream, Downstream	Direction:
Date: 8/7/2012	Location	aci consulting	Southeast






			1
			X
	and the second		
Rancho Viejo	Feature	E-8 Downstream Extent	Photo #: 37
····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	Description	Ephemeral Stream, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/8/2012	Location	E8-1	Northwest







	Aur	A CALENCE OF	
Rancho Viejo	Feature	E-8 Further Upstream	Photo #: 39
J			
	Description	Ephemeral Stream, Upstream	Direction:





















Rancho Viejo	Feature	DS-13 Farther Upstream	Photo #: 45
	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Location	DS13-1	Northwest





Rancho Viejo	Feature	DS-11 Farther Upstream	Photo #: 47
5	Description	Drainage Swale, Upstream	Direction:
Date: 8/21/2012	Location	DS11-1	Northwest



Attachment B to July 25, 2014 Letter

Redline/Strikeout of Revised Pages

PART II

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TYPE I MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE FACILITY

MSW PERMIT NO. 2374

PESCADITO ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE CENTER

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL FACILITY

RANCHO VIEJO WASTE MANAGEMENT, LLC LAREDO, WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS

Sections 1.1, 1.2, 2.1.4, 10.1—10.4, 11.1 – Signed by H.C. Clark, P.G., Ph.D. on Feb. 7, 2012

> Originally Prepared By:

Except for Sections 1.1, 1.2, 2.1.4, 10.1—10.4 and 11.1 – remaining portions of Part II through February 17, 2012 revisions were signed/sealed by James F. Neyens, P.E. on February 24, 2012.

TRC Environmental Corporation TBPE Firm Registration No. 3775

March 28, 2011; Revised May 20, 2011; Revised September 14, 2011; Revised December 14, 2011; Revised February 17, 2012

Revised on June 12, 2014 by:



Shaw Environmental, Inc. (a CB&I company) TBPE Firm Registration No. F-5650 and H.C. Clark P.G., Ph.D. for Sections 1.2, 2.1.4 and 11.1

Revised July 25, 2014 by:

CB&I Environmental and Infrastructure, Inc.

Table of Contents

			Page
PART	T II		
1.0	EXIS	TING CONDITIONS SUMMARY – [330.61 (A)]	
	1.1	Soils and Geology	
	1.2	Groundwater	
	1.3	Site Size and Topography	
	1.4	Rainfall, Hydrology and Storm Water Runoff	
	1.5	Floodplains	
	1.6	Threatened and Endangered Species	
	1.7	Land Use	
	1.8	Oil and Gas Production	
2.0	WAS	TE ACCEPTANCE PLAN [330.61 (B)]	
	2.1	General	
2	2.1.1	Type of Facility and Wastes to be Accepted	
	2.2	Sources and Characteristics of Waste	
	2.3	Quantity of Waste	
3.0	GEN	ERAL LOCATION MAPS [330.61 (C)]	<u>17</u> 15
4.0	FACI	LITY LAYOUT MAPS [330.61 (D)]	<u>19</u> 17
5.0	GEN	ERAL TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS [330.61 (E)]	
6.0	AERI	AL PHOTOGRAPH [330.61 (F)]	
7.0	LAN	D-USE MAP [330.61 (G)]	
8.0	IMPA	ACT ON SURROUNDING AREA [330.61 (H)]	
	8.1	Potential Impact on Human Health	
	8.2	Potential Impact on the Environment	
	8.3	Compatibility with the Surrounding Area	
9.0	TRA	NSPORTATION [330.61 (I)]	
10.0	GEN	ERAL GEOLOGY AND SOILS STATEMENT [330.61 (J)]	
	10.1	General Geology [330.61(j)(1)]	
	10.2	General Soils [330.61(j)(1)]	
	10.3	Fault Areas [330.61(j)(2) and 330.555]	
	10.4	Seismic Impact Zones [330.61(j)(3) and 330.557]	
	10.5	Unstable Areas [330.61(j)(4) and 330.559]	
11.0	GRO	UNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER [330.61 (K)]	
	11.1	Groundwater [330.61(k)(1)]	
	11.2	Surface Water [330.61(k)(2)]	
Rancho	Viejo Was	ste Management, LLC 3	Part II

12.0	ABANDONED OIL AND WATER WELLS [330.61 (L)]	. <u>37</u> 35
13.0	FLOODPLAINS AND WETLANDS STATEMENT [330.61 (M)]	. <u>38</u> 36
14.0	ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES [330.61 (N)]	. <u>40</u> 38
15.0	TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION REVIEW [330.61 (O)]	. <u>41</u> 39
16.0	COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVIEW [330. (P)]	
17.0	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL [330.371]	. <u>43</u> 41
18.0	GENERAL OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS [330.15]	. <u>44</u> 4 2

Sections 1.1, 1.2, 2.1.4, 10.1-10.4,	Except for Sections 1.1, 1.2, 2.1.4,
11.1 – Signed by H.C. Clark, P.G.,	10.1—10.4 and 11.1 – remaining
Ph.D. on Feb. 7, 2012	portions of Part II through February
	17, 2012 revisions were signed/sealed
	by James F. Neyens, P.E. on
	February 24, 2012.

Revised June 12, 2014 H.C. Clark P.G., Ph.D. for Sections 1.2, 2.1.4 and 11.1

And

CB&I (Shaw Environmental, Inc.) for other revised pages

Revised July 25, 2014 by CB&I Environmental and Infrastructure, Inc. <u>TBPE Firm F-5650</u>

Figures

8	
Figure 1	General Location Map
Figure 2	Wind Rose Map
Figure 3	Facility Layout Map
Figure 4	Operations Area Layout Map
Figure 5	Future Operations Area Layout Map
Figure 6	General Topographic Map
Figure 7	Aerial Photograph
Figure 8	Land Use Map
Figure 9	Supplemental Land Use Map
Figure 10	USGS Seismic Hazard Map
Figure 11	Flood Insurance Rate Map

Attachments

Attachment A	T&E Species and Wetlands Assessment
Attachment B	TxDOT Coordination
Attachment C	Texas Historical Commission Review
Attachment D	Cultural Resources Review
Attachment E	Local Agency Coordination
Attachment F	Federal Aviation Administration Coordination
Attachment G	100-Year Floodplain Coordination
Attachment H	TPDES Certification

Sections 1.1, 1.2, 2.1.4, 10.1—10.4, 11.1 – Signed by H.C. Clark, P.G., Ph.D. on Feb. 7, 2012 Except for Sections 1.1, 1.2, 2.1.4, 10.1—10.4 and 11.1 – remaining portions of Part II through February 17, 2012 revisions were signed/sealed by James F. Neyens, P.E. on February 24, 2012.

Revised June 12, 2014 H.C. Clark P.G., Ph.D. for Sections 1.2, 2.1.4 and 11.1

And

CB&I (Shaw Environmental, Inc.) for other revised pages

Revised July 25, 2014 by CB&I Environmental and Infrastructure, Inc.

TBPE Firm F-5650

This floodplain is depicted in Figure 11, Part II. The FIRM can also be found in Attachment G of Part II. It is important to realize that the surface topography used to create the FIRM does not appear to include the existing dikes and surface impoundments at the site and in the watershed upslope from the site. TRC is engaged in engineering studies of the actual surface topography as it currently exists. TRC is also performing an engineering analysis of drainage at the site and all watersheds above and immediately below the site. TRC will design a series of drainage channels and detention structures that will result in the removal of the proposed landfill area from the 100-year floodplain. Furthermore, a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR), has been submitted to FEMA requesting correction of the existing FIRM to take into account the related drainage and floodplain improvements. We expect this action will result in documentation that construction of the proposed watershed improvements at and adjacent to the site will remove the landfill from the 100-year floodplain.

1.6 Threatened and Endangered Species

TRC has performed an initial assessment of threatened and endangered (T&E) species at the site, and subsequently conducted a more detailed biological evaluation. These studies will assure compliance with federal and state requirements for the protection of T&E species and their habitats. These studies have been submitted to the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Survey (USFWS), as discussed in Section <u>14.0</u>. Subsequent to these studies, aci Consulting performed a Biological Assessment and received notice from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service that the proposed project had complied with section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act, and concurred that the project would have no effect on four of the species identified (ocelot, interior least tern, ashy dogweed and Johnston's frankenia) and would not adversely affect the jaguarundi due to its closest observation being 44 miles to the north and the proposed conservation measures that will benefit the species should they be in the vicinity of the project site. See Part II, Attachment A<u>for a copy of the Biological Assessment and correspondence from the USFWS</u>.

1.7 Land Use

Land use at and within one mile of the facility is exclusively devoted to cattle ranching and oil and gas exploration and production. This same land use extends generally for many miles in every direction. The only exceptions are an area of residential land use about four miles to the northwest and two transportation corridors. The residential land use is in the community of Ranchitos Las Lomas, which is located along Highway 59 and had a population of 334 in the 2000 census. The transportation corridors include U.S. Highway 59, which passes through Ranchitos Las Lomas four miles to the northwest, and the Kansas City Southern Railroad about two miles to the south of the facility, which will provide rail service to the site.

1.8 Oil and Gas Production

While some oil but mostly gas production has been prevalent in the area, very little has actually occurred on the proposed site of the facility. Several wells were attempted on or adjacent to the site, but have been sealed and abandoned. The width of the landfill was selected to allow possible future development of gas reserves beneath the landfill by using directional drilling methods. Existing practices employed by energy companies in

13.0 FLOODPLAINS AND WETLANDS STATEMENT [330.61 (m)]

Portions of the proposed facility are currently located within the 100-year floodplain, as indicated on the replication of the most current available floodplain map, or Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), presented in Figure 11. An independent The design of the proposed landfill and related facilities will include design of a comprehensive storm water management system of dikes, drainage channels and detention ponds has been designed to remove areas of the site proposed for the landfill, processing and storage areas and related development . Collectively, this system will remove the area of the landfill and proposed buildings from the 100-year floodplain. TRC has performed all the necessary hydrological and hydraulic engineering analysis and design to accomplish this. The results of this engineering design along with an application for a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) werehave been submitted to the Webb County Planning Department (WCPD) for review and were approved (see Attachment G). WCPD is the local agency responsible for floodplain management. With concurrence from WCPD, the CLOMR application has been submitted to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for review and approval. The CLOMR when issued will verify that the proposed CLOMR system site drainage plans will, in fact, remove areas of the site proposed for the landfill, processing and storage areas and related development from the 100-year floodplain. The design of the proposed landfill and related appurtenances to be provided in Part III of the Application will include a separate, comprehensive storm water management system of dikes, drainage channels and detention ponds.

Any reduction of the permit boundary area will have no effect on the CLOMR application. The CLOMR, as submitted to FEMA, has not changed from that approved by the WCPD and will effectively remove the area of the proposed landfill and buildings from the 100-year floodplain.

Construction of the landfill will impact a named reservoir, Burrito Tank, and possibly several smaller stock tanks. All affected reservoirs are owned by the applicant or by its parent, Rancho Viejo Cattle Company, Ltd. In order to approximate effects of the tanks, storage and discharge relationships were developed and utilized for simulation of the pre-project conditions in the CLOMR analysis. Therefore, all existing features were included in the pre-project conditions analysis. It should be noted that, after reviewing the delineation of the FEMA floodplain with respect to the tanks, the tanks will likely not have any significant attenuation effect on the peak discharge. The 100-year flood is so broad in the vicinity of the tanks it appears there is sufficient area to carry the flows which will bypass the tanks' zones of impact.

The proposed landfill is located in an ideal location considering soil, groundwater, land use, and oil and gas activities (past, present, and future). No other location is equally

plausible. It is difficult to find an area of appropriate size in Eastern Webb County that does not have floodplain issues due to the prevailing flat topography and rapid runoff soil conditions. Applicant endeavored to find an upland location that was reasonably close to the headwater conditions to minimize any impacts to floodplains and/or wetlands.

aci Consulting performed an extensive Jurisdictional Determination at the site and downstream of the site. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers approved the Jurisdictional Determination and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency concurred that the site contains only "intra-state, isolated, non-navigable waters" under 33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3). Correspondence was subsequently received from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers stating that this project will not involve activities subject to the requirements of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and that no permit was necessary to comply with Section 404 or Section 10 as there are no Waters of the United States at the site. See Part II, Attachment A<u>for a copy of the approved Jurisdictional Determination and correspondence from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.</u>

14.0 ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES [330.61 (n)]

A site reconnaissance and evaluation was performed by TRC in 2009 to assess the potential for the facility to harbor endangered and threatened species, or to provide critical habitat for such species. This evaluation included obtaining current lists of both federal- and state-listed species for Webb County and identifying the habitat and range or occurrence characteristics of all such listed species.

Based on the results of their evaluation, TRC concluded that the site of the proposed facility may contain habitat or range conditions that may result in the occurrence of endangered or threatened species. By comparing the characteristics of the site to surrounding areas, it was clear that habitat and environmental conditions of the site are not significantly different from conditions for many miles surrounding the site. No unique or critical habitat conditions were observed. A biological evaluation was completed and provided to TPWD and USFWS. TPWD has responded and a copy of its response letter is contained in Attachment A.

Subsequent to TRC's studies, aci Consulting performed an extensive Biological Assessment and received notice from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service that the proposed project had complied with section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act, and concurred that the project would have no effect on four of the species identified (ocelot, interior least tern, ashy dogweed and Johnston's frankenia) and would not adversely affect the jaguarundi due to its closest observation being 44 miles to the north and the proposed conservation measures that will benefit the species should they be in the vicinity of the project site. See Part II, Attachment A for a copy of the Biological Assessment and correspondence from the USFWS.

Attachment C to July 25, 2014 Letter

Applicant's Statement

Facility Name: Pescadito Environmental Resource Center MSW Authorization #: 2374 Initial Submittal Date:03/28/2011 Revision Date: July 2014

Signature Page

I, <u>Carlos Y. Benavides, III</u>, (Site Operator (Permittee/Registrant)'s Authorized Signatory) <u>Manager</u>, (Title)

Date: _____ 25, 20 (4

certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature:

For: Rancho Viejo Waste Management, LLC 1116 Calle del Norte Laredo, Texas 78041

ulla.

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE OPERATOR IF THE APPLICATION IS SIGNED BY AN AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE OPERATOR


I, <u>N/A</u>, hereby designate <u>N/A</u> (Print or Type Operator Name) (Print or Type Representative Name)

as my representative and hereby authorize said representative to sign any application, submit additional information as may be requested by the Commission; and/or appear for me at any hearing or before the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality in conjunction with this request for a Texas Water Code or Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act permit. I further understand that I am responsible for the contents of this application, for oral statements given by my authorized representative in support of the application, and for compliance with the terms and conditions of any permit which might be issued based upon this application.

N/A

Printed or Typed Name of Operator or Principal Executive Officer

N/A
Signature
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me by the said Carlos Y Benavides
On this 25th day of July, 2014
My commission expires on the 30th day of April 2014
michelle mata
Notary Public in and for
Webb County, Texas
(Note: Application Must Bear Signature & Seal of Notary Public)
MICHELLE O. MATA
Notary Public, State of Texas My Commission Expires
III S WA COULINING COULDEND IN



April 30, 2018